



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41191
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological quality of rice seeds stored in different environments and packages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Whether soybean is included in this study, if yes include in article title, if no Abstract-conclusion 1 line- delete soybean and conclusion 238 line- delete soybean.	It has been corrected, it was just a typing error.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract-Results-1line- TCAP, TCRP-Abbreviate Abstract-Conclusion-1 line- soybean- if soybean included in this experiment, if no delete Materials and Methods-76 line- ln- In Materials and Methods- 78 line- cm cm -1 day -1 - Check Materials and Methods-94-96 line,107-111 line- check line spacing Results and Discussion-127 line- Proving the effect the period.. .characters- can modify the sentence Results and Discussion-143 line- which they are- storage container Results and Discussion-187 line- a fact already expected- delete Results and Discussion-221 line- GSI-explain Methodology- 80-90% RH- whether RH is controlled	All corrections were followed. However, the differences in line spacing are due to the presence of equations, so there is no way to change.
Optional/General comments		