



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41156
Title of the Manuscript:	Water deficit as a limiting factor to the initial growth of coffee Conilon variety Diamante
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author need to state the coordinates (latitude and altitude) in the abstract He need to state the experimental design Which statistical tool the author use? No conclusion and recommendation	The coordinates were entered in the abstract as requested. Changes were made in the text, elucidating in the manner suggested by Reviewer about the design and statistical scheme employed. The information of which statistical tool used by the authors was inserted in the text, according to the request of the reviewers. As requested, changes were made to the conclusions of the article, in order to Reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>