



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_40559
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF THE INTEGRATED DIAGNOSIS AND RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM AND SUFFICIENCY BAND FOR NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF CONILON COFFEE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The paper requires a good English revision. - Many sentences are incomprehensible and need to be reformulated. - Please, respect the journal standards regarding bibliographic references: references are written differently, - Please, avoid "et al" in the references list: you should cite the author names. - Please, avoid the abbreviations in the abstract text: DRIS in the line 11. You should write the expression completely "INTEGRATED DIAGNOSIS AND RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM" the first time you cite it, and after, you can use the abbreviation. - I think the abbreviation is "IDRS" and not "DRIS"!	I followed the guidelines, however the abbreviation for "INTEGRATED DIAGNOSIS AND RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM" is DRIS. The abbreviation is also the name of the methodology. Many papers in international journals use the same term.
Minor REVISION comments	- In the "line 9", You said "nutrient content" but you should precise if it concern leaf or fruit or ... - The same remark in the "line 30", you should say "leaf nutrient contents". - This sentence "Research has been carried out with conilon coffee in an attempt to interpret and optimize the application of fertilizers" should be done at the end of introduction because it express the objective of your research. Why do you cite this reference "LIMA et al., 2016" attached to this sentence ??? - Material and methods: You must cite the analysis methods (references) used for determining nutrients contents in the laboratory. - The "k" in the line "106" is not present in the formula "line 104"?? - In line "176", the order for excess is : Mn=B>P>Ca>Cu>Mg>N	- Why do you cite this reference "LIMA et al., 2016" attached to this sentence ??? The correct is:"Researches has been carried out ..." I am justifying a survey based on the citation from Lima et l. 2016 I accepted the other suggestions and they were very pertinent.
Optional/General comments	- You can erase this sentence "3rd 15 and 4th pairs counting from the tip to the base" in the abstract: it's a detail. - I puprpose that you present the results en two parts: 1) Results with "DRIS" method, and 2) results with "range sufficiency" method. - Which of the two methods do you recommend?	I accepted the suggestions, I just did not separate the results. I think presentation directly forces reading the content. I indicated the preferred method at conclusion.