



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_40482
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Analysis of Seeding of Peppers Submitted to Different Organic Substrates and Trays
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 38. It is important to put the scientific name of the plant Line 47. Indicate the climatic conditions of the greenhouse Line 54. It should be clarified because two trays of different capacity and number of cells are used. Is this important because of the density effect? Line 80. Clarify what is meant by a normal seedling Line 84. Define what is true leaves Line 138. 1.84 mm. For AP the substrate 50% soil + 50% humus (8.48 cm) humus presented higher average. I think that this word should not be. It is necessary to consult bibliography of authors from other countries.	Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected.
Optional/General comments	The article is well written, the subject is very important. The results are clear as well as the statistical analysis.	Thanks for the comments.