



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_40453
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic performance of different banana cultivars in the capixaba north region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors should restructure the introduction to bring out the salient points at the problem statement and justification in relation to the research title.</p> <p>2. Authors should discuss the implication of the results well, as some of them were not clear.</p> <p>3. The first, second and third cycles(line 92 and 93) should be explain very well as the mother, daughter and granddaughter plant explanation is not clear.</p>	<p>Dear evaluator, your corrections have been made in the text.</p> <p>However, the fixes of topic two were not made. I need more details on which points were not clear.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript should be accepted if the authors effect the minor revision comments elaborated above.	