



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_40090                                                                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence of Vegetation on the Development of Erosion Between Furrows in a Semi-arid Environment |
| Type of the Article      | <u>Review Article</u>                                                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | The abstract does not match the rest of the article and the aim is missing. The title is informative and relevant, but the <b><u>research question</u></b> is not clearly outlined. | The abstract was redone, in order to better fit the text, the objective was duly placed and the title modified, according to suggestions.                                     |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                               |