Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_40079**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Piata and Paiaguas grass fertilized with wood ash in Entisol**
Type of the Article	**Original research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Please insert results in the abstract. Table 1 and 2 should be merged in one Table. Table 3 and 4 should also be merged in one Table. Result should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.**	**a. The results were inserted in the abstract;** **b. Tables 1 and 2, 3 and 4 were merged** **c. Results were more discussed and more citations were inserted** **d. References and citations have been corrected according to the guidelines.**
Minor REVISION comments	**Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.**	e. **The corrections are highlighted in yellow**
Optional/General comments	**Introduction and Methodology were Clear and understandable. Please insert results in the abstract. Table 1 and 2 should be merged in one Table. Table 3 and 4 should also be merged in one Table. Result should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.**	f. **The suggestions were all accepted and the corrections are highlighted in yellow**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:
http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20