



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39881
Title of the Manuscript:	Weed Control and Peanut Tolerance When Using Pyroxasulfone in Oklahoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript presents an original research involving selectivity to herbicides and their effectivity for weed control in peanut crop. The article is not only well-written, but also has great technical quality and an appropriate clarity of presentation. Therefore, this manuscript has potential significance to be published in the Journal of Experimental Agriculture International.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Other than small misspelling words or similar errors, there is no major issues in this manuscript. Thus, I would recommend the authors to reread the entire article in order to correct such errors and also improve the abstract in a way to provide a clear and summarized perspective of the research they carried out.	The manuscript was reread and minor corrections of wording was done. No misspelled words could be found. Minor changes made to the abstract.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		