



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39849
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and productivity of tomato plants under water deficit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study is written very understandable. All subject titles are enough except conclusion part. The conclusion should definitely be further developed.	The conclusion was not better developed in the abstract because there is a limit of words in the journal standards. However, as written, it responds well to the purpose of the study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		