



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39835
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of production systems, traditional knowledge of pigeon pea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>) and risks of extinction of Jack bean (<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>) and lubia bean (<i>Lablab purpureus</i>) in some parts of south west Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript (Ms_JEAI_39835) entitled "Evaluation of production systems, traditional knowledge of pigeon pea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>) and risks of extinction of Jack bean (<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>) and lubia bean (<i>Lablab purpureus</i>) in some parts of south west Nigeria" is concerned with assessing production systems.	
Minor REVISION comments	Location map of study area should be added in materials and methods section.	We are very sorry, we don't have an expert in geographical map to help us with this
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very well written and has provided important insights into production systems of some kinds of beans In conclusion, the comprehensive nature of the paper submitted merits its publication in Journal of Experimental Agriculture International	I would like to thank the reviewer for lauding our work.