



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39797
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of agricultural residues as alternative substrates in the production of Conilon coffee seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title differs from the written objectives in both the abstract and the introduction, I recommend the adequacy of the objectives. Insert a space in the paragraphs Line 14: remove the (and) and replace with (.) Divide the topic materials and methods into subtopics (See recently published articles in this Journal. Line 87: It was not clear which parameter is (EC). Table 1: Leave with the same number of decimal places. Do not leave the table incomplete. Line 103: Not clear, improve the translation. Table 3: Use the Tukey test with the letters. Line 153: Improve paragraph writing and translation. Line 203: The part is not clear: "(1: 1 v / v ratio) and (1: 1: 1 v / v / v)". Improve results and discussion. Deepen the discussion according to the constitution of each treatment. Only compare results It is not discussion.	The objectives were adequate. Inserted space between paragraphs. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted. Adjusted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		