



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39710
Title of the Manuscript:	Pulp browning and bioactive compounds in fruits from peach trees grafted on different rootstocks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Manuscript entitled “Pulp browning and bioactive compounds in fruits from peach trees grafted on different rootstocks” is of interest to evaluate the relation between the rootstock and the quality of fruits after cold storage and how it influences pulp browning and bioactive compounds. The MS is scientifically defensible. The integral text was well written, but some paragraphs in the methodology section (2.1 Location and climatic conditions and 2.2, Treatments and experimental design, and 2.3 Traits evaluated) were copy past from the following study: <i>Farias Barreto, C., Batalha Moreno Kirins, M., Santos da Silva, P., Radmann Schiavon, C., Valmor Rombaldi, C., Barbosa Malgarim, M., & Fachinello, J. C. (2017). Agronomic performance of the Maciel peach with different rootstocks. Semina: Ciências Agrárias, 38(3).</i> In this context the text must be original. Additionally, the authors must discuss the results and compare with other new and relevant references. In this case, I recommend some modifications	The writing of the text was modified. And it is in yellow letters the modifications in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		