



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39621
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility and economic risk of programmed pruning cycle in arabic coffee
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Add s to Material Authors explained Evaluation of economic viability very well, but did not support their findings with references Authors explained Economic risk analysis very well, but did not support their findings with references. Therefore, I would suggest that authors should do thorough relevant literature search to support their findings before this manuscript can be published	OK! As suggested, some works with scientific basis were included, that support and confirm the results obtained in the present study. Thank you!
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		