



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39554
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of a Solid-Liquid Extraction with Low Temperature Partitioning (SLE/LTP) Method for Determination of the Herbicide Indaziflam in Brazilian Soils by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC-UV/Vis)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- In abstract line 5; should be In the present study not present work - Introduction: Referencing started with [27] instead of [1]; please arrange according to format. - Line 41 should be the aim of the study not work - Statistical analysis: Define significance of P value since it was used in your result (Fig 2). - Result and discussion: $\alpha < 0.05$?	- The word in abstract was changed. - The references were organized. - Line 41 a word was changed - In the paper was defined o value of $P = 0.05$ - How the value of P was written into the paper , this value of : α was eliminated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good research work and should be published after corrections.	The corrections were made.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>