



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39485
Title of the Manuscript:	Methodology for the Genetic Improvement of Coriander Aiming Resistance to the Galls Nematode
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript deals with the Methodology for the Genetic Improvement of Coriander Aiming Resistance to the Galls Nematode. The manuscript is not properly written and the language is poor. The title needs revision. In the title the word “gall” should be replaced with “root knot”. The same should be done throughout the manuscript. The word “aiming” should be replaced with suitable word. The abstract needs revision. Some of the sentences are not correct and need revision. The objectives of the study have not been provided. The conclusions need revision. The language of the manuscript is poor. There were many cases in the manuscript where the sentences were not properly structured, suggesting that the paper would improve if it could be edited for language and technical correctness. The use of “the” is unjustified in most of the cases. Its use should be rationalized. The references do not follow the format of the journal. The authors should strictly follow the instructions to make the references in accordance with the format of the journal. The authors are suggested to consult following articles to strengthen the manuscript. Hussain, M. A., T. Mukhtar and M. Z. Kayani. 2016. Reproduction of <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> on resistant and susceptible okra cultivars. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 53(2): 371-375. Kayani, M. Z., T. Mukhtar and M. A. Hussain. 2017. Effects of southern root knot nematode population densities and plant age on growth and yield parameters of cucumber. Crop Protection, 92: 207-212. Mukhtar, T., M. A. Hussain and M. Z. Kayani. 2017. Yield responses of twelve okra cultivars to southern root-knot nematode (<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>). Bragantia. 75 (1): 108-112. Mukhtar, T., M. Arooj, M. Ashfaq, A. Gulzar. 2017. Resistance evaluation and host status of selected green gram genotypes against <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>. Crop Protection, 92: 198-202. Tariq-Khan, M., A. Munir, T. Mukhtar, J. Hallmann and H. Heuer. 2017. Distribution of root-knot nematode species and their virulence on vegetables	Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. References are in agreement with the works "Influence of Different Population Densities in the Production Performance of Azuki Bean Lines", " Alternative Substrates for Production of Cherry Tomato Seedlings", " Botany and Breeding of Tomato to Obtain Genotypes Resistant to Bacterial Wilt", "Pepper Seedlings Quality Submitted to Different Substrates and Types of Trays" and "Comparison of Castor Beans Hybrids Produced by the Conventional Method and by the Cryptic Hybrid Method" published in this journal. Thanks for the suggestions. The manuscripts were read and helped to reformulate this manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	in northern temperate agro-ecosystems of the Pakistani-administered territories of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection. 124 (3): 201-212.	
Minor REVISION comments		We appreciate the suggested comments, which we are sure were instrumental in increasing the quality of this manuscript.
Optional/General comments		