



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39346
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF BIOCHAR, RICE HUSK AND RICE STRAW ON PRODUCTIVITY OF MAIZE (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) AND SUSTAINABLE SOIL FERTILITY RESTORATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In abstract no need to Wright all the value instead that better to mention increase in percentage. Conclusion looks like summery so need to be specific on the outcome of results or finding.	The abstract has modified as suggested by the reviewer. The conclusion has been modified to capture the major findings only.
Minor REVISION comments	Check text for grammatical mistake. Result chapter need more clarification give strong and updated references for results support.	The text has been check for grammatical error in the document. The result chapter has been worked on however, no recent literature to back the findings from the present study.
Optional/General comments		