



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39204
Title of the Manuscript:	Chilling requirement of ten peach cultivars estimated by different models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Checking the numbers on lines 173 and 174	"Using this same model for 'Diamante' and 'Turmalina', 662 CU and 631 CU, respectively, allowed having a good production (Tables 4 and 5)." The word "respectively" was added between commas, and it was taken out to the word "good", for better understanding.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		