



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39204
Title of the Manuscript:	Chilling requirement of ten peach cultivars estimated by different models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A fruit variety's chilling requirement is a key determinant of where it will consistently produce satisfactory crops of fruit. Several models have been proposed to estimate the chilling requirement of different temperate fruit species. These lines of Abstract must be changed as they are showing 20 per cent plagiarism	"The adaptation of a temperate climate fruit cultivar to a certain area depends mainly on its chilling requirement and the chilling accumulation on such place. Several attempts have been made to estimate these two conditions, using different models." Paragraph made totally new.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Bibliography needs to be revised again as per the Journal's Instructions to Authors. Conclusion must be elaborated suggesting the benefits of the study.	The bibliography was revised according to the instructions to the authors. Corrected conclusions.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study is good, novel and i recommend it to be published only after the suggested changes.	