



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39132
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies to increase the capacity of soil infiltration and water retention
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction- In adequate, since it does not cover the whole topic of study. It is too brief hence need be improved. Some paragraphs are too short consisting of one sentence. Strategies to increase soil infiltration and water retention are not quite clear. This needs to be further elaborated with appropriate diagrams and sketches. Conclusion- This is too brief and recommendations are missing. Recommendations should show the research gaps and give direction for further research. Grammar and spellings should be corrected as well as proper paragraphing throughout the document.	All requests were accepted.
Minor REVISION comments	2. REVIEW- This should be literature review Provide sketches, figures, photos and tables to illustrate your write-up.	We found some information and then added to the Review.
Optional/General comments	Change title to read as follows: Processes of soil infiltration and water retention and strategies to increase their capacity	Thank you for the suggestion, we accepted it.