



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39078
Title of the Manuscript:	Pit dimensions in the early development of conilon coffee propagated by seeds and cuttings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title- replace stacking with cuttings Abstract- state why you studied pit dimensions in coffee? Experimental design- state whether it was a split plot OR a factorial design. You have described interactions which are found in factorial experiments. On the other hand you have also described layout having plots and sub-plots which makes them to be split-plot experiment. 2. Materials and methods Erase experimental details/methodology State how ANOVA was performed and also the year of software. PH- Plant height (correct spelling) Recommendations are missing References should be in English and also arranged alphabetically Correct for English grammar and spellings throughout the document	State why you studied pit dimensions in coffee? Answer: It was studied the dimensions of coffee pits because it was believed that the seedlings could be planted in smaller pits, saving costs with implantation. First paragraph expresses this. Experimental design- state whether it was a split plot OR a factorial design. You have described interactions which are found in factorial experiments. On the other hand you have also described layout having plots and sub-plots which makes them to be split-plot experiment. Answer: It was a factorial experiment, the information was corrected in the text. Erase experimental details/methodology. Answer: OK! State how ANOVA was performed and also the year of software. Answer: OK! PH- Plant height (correct spelling). Answer: OK, corrected! Recommendations are missing. Answer: Were added some. References should be in English and also arranged alphabetically. Papers published in another language must be kept with the original language. For later quotes! Answer: The ordering is in accordance with the norms of the magazine. Correct for English grammar and spellings throughout the document. Answer: OK, corrected!
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	2.1 Insert Study site as a subheading- line 62 2.2 Insert Experimental design subheading- line 69 2.3 Insert Data collection subheading- line 90 2.4 Insert Data analysis subheading- line 107	2.1 Insert Study site as a subheading- line 62. Answer: OK, inserted. 2.2 Insert Experimental design subheading- line 69. Answer: OK, inserted. 2.3 Insert Data collection subheading- line 90. Answer: We do not understand what the reviewer meant 2.4 Insert Data analysis subheading- line 107. Answer: OK, inserted.