



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39077
Title of the Manuscript:	Feeding Preferences and Digestive Physiology of Indian Carps in Polyculture Pond System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Over all MS is well written and designed experiment. Over all the paper is recommended for the publications with minor revisions as follow: 1. Write scientific name in bracket as catla (<i>catla catla</i>). 2. Line#71. Avoid repetition as rohu <i>L. rohita</i>, catla <i>C. catla</i> and mrigala <i>C. mrigala</i>. Just once write in full form then mention in short, also use name of fish in similar sequence throughout MS. 3. Prepare one combine table of gut contents of managed and unmanaged pond. Also use some specific term for such type of ponds as Pond-I and II or Pond-A and B etc. 4. Line#219. Make clear reference, as required for easy to common reader along with following the format of journal. 5. Result show catla has debris/decaying matter in abundance, as with the feeding habit physiological structure of mouth also play an important role, so as mouth style of this species suggests that it is difficult to consume the mentioned items, because all these are difficult to select/eat due to side of mouth part. So, better to make sure or justify the reason. 6. Describe and discuss the result including food contents, forage ration and enzymes in a sequence of fish described in materials and methods. Because, for best presentation sequence is essential. 7. "Fish take in a large amount of bacteria into their gut.....", this statement at conclusion must be justified in discussion, while throughout result the bacteria or other microbes are not described accept zooplankton or phytoplankton. 8. Zooplaktovorous, make correct as zooplaktivorous.	1. This was corrected in the manuscript. 2. Repetition was removed and sequence was corrected. 3. A combine and reorganized table was constructed and specific terms assigned to the ponds. 4. A reference was added to clarify. 5. Some information on the physiological features was included. 6. The sequence of description in the results and discussion a was synchronized. 7. The conclusion was deleted and a new one was added. 8. zooplaktovorous was replaced with zooplaktivorous throughout the document.
Optional/General comments		