SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_39072**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Selection of Agricultural Drain Envelopes for Problematic Calcareous Soils in Egypt Regarding Clogging and Potential Risk of Calcium Carbonate Precipitation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper is very interesting and could be applied in any environmental condition. However, paper has some shortcomings. Namely, author(s) should organize text in logic order in the chapter Materials and methods. First should be mentioned where was that experiment carried out. Then, what was measured and methods used. First describe laboratory measurement, and then experimental filed. In the chapter Results describe all results, first from lab and that from field. Treatments at field are not well described. Reader could be confused. Please see pdf. File with explanation. Fig 7 is not commented at all. Specific remarks are given directly in the pdf file.	All comments are done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		