



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39034
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of Foliar Fertilizer for Improving Yield of Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.), Managing Diseases and Leafminer (<i>Tuta absoluta</i>) Pest
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comments: 1. "Meanwhile" is used too often in the manuscript and usually incorrectly. 2. The description of experimental procedure needs some more information and clarification: - line 115 -.. plots were earthed-up...what is "earthed-up"?, - Authors wrote that to manage diseases and pests tomato plants were sprayed weekly with pesticides? How many times? - For how many weeks (months) tomato fruits were harvested? (Duration of the experiment) 3. Tomato plants sprayed with liquid fertilizer received much more nutrients than those fertilized by soil applied NPK. Therefore, I would suggest to take this fact into consideration, particularly in formulation of conclusions.	1. This has been revised throughout the manuscript 2. These have been explained further in the relevant sections. The frequency and duration of sprays, harvest and the experiment in general have also been added in the relevant sections. 3. We have taken this comment into consideration in the discussion and formulation of the conclusion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		