



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39034
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of Foliar Fertilizer for Improving Yield of Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.), Managing Diseases and Leafminer (<i>Tuta absoluta</i>) Pest
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title suggested: Analysis of foliar fertilizers and organic amendments in the productivity and pest impact of tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>.) 2. Explain why you chose those tomato cultivars or give their characteristics. 3. You should characterize Mucuna and Tintonia amendments (chemically, NPK?). 4. Statistical design is not clear, can other reviewer verify this? 5. Your conclusion section is not based on your results. Need to rectify. 6. Have you read all 89 references? Or you just re-refered from an initial author?	1. The title has been revised as suggested by other reviewers 2. We have added information on the tomato cultivar that was used. 3. We have added information on the characterization of of Mucuna and Tithonia biomass materials. 4. The other reviewers did not raise the concern of this reviewer but we have tried to rephrase the description to improve clarity and understanding. 5. This has now been revised to reflect the major findings. We read through many references because we have many articles from the same field site and similar treatments, both published and unpublished that warrants detailed insights into our articles and justifications for our objectives and findings.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		