



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38746
Title of the Manuscript:	Pepper Seedlings Quality Submitted to Different Substrates and Types of Trays
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Correctly written paper. The authors correctly accepted the research assumptions and the scheme of conducting the experiment. The results obtained can be used utilitarianly. The research goal set was implemented. Line 14 -15 Keywords are in Spanish probably, they should be translated into English language. Line 262 Please add 1-2 sentences in general summarizing the studies and introducing them to properly drawn conclusions.	We thank to comments. Corrected. Corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If possible, authors should add at least 1 picture illustrating the process of experience or its effect (grown seedlings) or its the graphical diagram.	Unfortunately it was not possible to take photos of the experiment and, all authors find the most appropriate table form for exposing the results.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	none	We thank to comments.