



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38712
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) genotypes' growth and yield performance and resistance to the cowpea seed beetle, <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> F
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good research on the vulnerability of selected cowpea genotypes to <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> attack. The findings had revealed that most of the genotypes are highly susceptible and low yielding, thus necessitating the evaluation of more available cultivars in the area for resistance to the bruchid weevil which has plague this important staple crop for centuries, causing significant damage, reduction and threat to food security.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Some errors have been identified and indicated using comment icons. 2. Include standard error of means in all the data 3. Include percentage error bars in the chart 4. Please effect all corrections as indicated in the manuscript. 5. Follow journal format and style in computing the references. 6. Names of all journals cited should be written in full and not abbreviated.	1. Errors indicated in the manuscript have been corrected. 2. The CVs (Coefficient of Variation) indicated in the Tables generally, provide variations within the data set, providing similar measure as the standard errors. So providing standard errors would be redundant. 3. Dobie's Susceptibility Index does not have error bars because it is a scale. 4. Corrections in the reference citations have been effected in the text and reference listed sections.
Optional/General comments	Good research and presentation.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>