



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38521
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultraviolet-C Radiation on Yellow Cherry Guava Conservation and its Influence on Physico-Chemical Fruits Characteristics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is good and has novel ideas as related to the field of study. However, the author should take note of the minor corrections shown below.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 23-24: The high water content associated with the intense fruit metabolism it brings a number of damages such as browning and appearance of rot [3].Please correct the sentence. Line 24-25: In order to produce yellow cherry guava commercial crops (add comma here) it is necessary to develop marketing strategies to increase fruit production and consumption [4]. Line 29-30: as it acts (add: "by") inactivating microorganisms [5] without altering the irradiated food flavor, besides its application does not leave toxic residues. (please restructure the statement) Line 39: as it works by reducing the breathing (what do you mean by "breathing") process. Line 55-56: the radiation was during (please use another word) two minutes for each application, resulting in an intensity of 2.17 kJ m-2.	Line 23-24: The phrase was rewritten Linha 24-25: It was accomplished as suggested. Linha 29-30: It was accomplished as suggested. Linha 39: The expression has been replaced for further understanding, as requested. Linha 55-56: The expression has been replaced.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		