



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38521
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultraviolet-C Radiation on Yellow Cherry Guava Conservation and its Influence on Physico-Chemical Fruits Characteristics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Spelling mistakes were there kindly correct it. E.G: <i>natura</i> , it should be natural 2. Plant authentication details should be provided. 3. Table 1. Obtained means for the variables : diameter (D), length (C) and mass loss (MP) in yellow cherry guava fruits submitted to UV-C radiation and stored in a cold room. But in actual table what is PM%? 4. The result data physical characteristics averages evaluated in the fruits, according to the applied treatment and without applied should be compared. Because here changes in physical characteristics of fruit were observed with various variable. if you could have provided the data for normal fruit then it could be compared. 5. More clarity is needed to understand the work done.	1. "<i>in natura</i>" is a Latin term consecrated to define the food in its natural state, without undergoing processing. 2. The species was identified by the scientific name (<i>Psidium cattleianum</i> L.) and common name (yellow cherry guava). 3. The abbreviated terms in the tables were corrected (Diameter - D; Length - L; Mass Loss - ML) 4. In Treatment 1 (T1) no UV-C radiation was applied, being considered the "control treatment" for comparison with the others that were irradiated. 5. We believe that with the suggested modifications the study has become clearer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		