



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38512
Title of the Manuscript:	Budbreak promoters increases percentage, standardization and synchrony of budbreak in 'Eva' and 'Castel Gala' apple trees in mild winter climate conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Page 1 Line 7 in Abstract: ... budbreak of auxillary buds and ... Page 1 Line 7 in Abstract: ... budbreak of auxillary buds whereas ... Page 1 Line 7 in Abstract: ... in 4x2 factorial arrangement (four treatments ... Page 1 Line 9 in Keywords: ... <i>Malus domestica</i>. – not italics Page 1 Line 11: ... <i>Malus domestica</i>. – italics Page 2 Line 50: ... longitude 52°21'W, ... Page 2 Line 63: ... Erger® 3% ... Page 2 Line 64: ... HC and MO, ... Page 2 Line 114: ... The different Erger® ... Page 6 Line 211: ... Journal of Experimental Botany.	accepted review, but the correct word is axillary accepted review, but the correct word is axillary accepted review accepted review accepted review accepted review I preferred to remove from the manuscript the symbols ®, due to these symbols are optional in a scientific article accepted review accepted review accepted review, the same review was carried out in reference 22
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		