



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38465
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of bio-regulators on the fruit quality and yield attributes of phalsa (Grewia subinaequalis DC)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Explain each bio-regulator role - NAA plays an important role at different timings... Explain???? - Results showed ethrel as best treatment as compared to others??? But authors mentioned only GA₃ the best.???? - Overall I must appreciate the work done by authors... as phalsa is a underutilized crop.. must boost up the research	- Role of each bio-regulator has been given and highlighted in the given manuscript. - Role of NAA has also been given. - The results again get thoroughly checked and discussed and also discuss the best treatments as per the studies.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		