



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38331
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative performance of traditional farming practices and improved practice on the development of Cassava in the field in Pissa (Central African Republic)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author needs to revise the article proper. Scientific way of presenting article should be adhered to. The author need to indicate either the research was carried out through field experiment or survey using questionnaires in the materials and method. Similarly data collection and analysis should be clearly indicated as well as experimental design.	For the collection of data, we have given details in relation to quoting even references (10 and 11). The technique was much more experimental. in the article there is a part that concerns data collection and data analysis
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The abstract should be written as a single paragraph, there should be 4 – 6 keywords. Numbering for headings and sub-headings need to be corrected. Check throughout the manuscript for grammar and syntax errors. Though this is a one year research however a brief recommendation need to be provided.	I took into account the remarks in relation to the resume which must be in one paragraph and the keywords which are at least four. I also review the grammatical style in terms of materials and methods
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Avoid personal statements for example in the material and method section 'we planted three cuttings of the same variety'	