



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38309
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomass Production and Water Economy of Sugarcane and Energy Cane Genotypes Grown in Water-Deficient and Well-Watered Regimes
Type of Article:	Short Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Dear Editor, The modifications made by the authors greatly improved the quality of the manuscript; however, there are still Minor REVISION comments to be made before publication. Tables 1, 2 and 3: Add CDWPT: total cumulative whole-plant transpiration, after the sentence: Means followed by a different letter are significantly different at 0.05 level. Line 298: Correct 1 to 52 days (A) and 53 to 63 days (B) instead of 1-52 days (A) and 53-63 days (B) to standardize with the other figures. Line 325: The conclusions topic is extensive. It is tiring for the reader. The results and Discussion are being repeated. Many sentences can be transferred to the topic Results and Discussion. I suggest the authors summarize the conclusions and punctuate the most relevant statements that can be made from the results (maximum 20 lines). It is not ideal to use verb in the past in the topic conclusions. Use the verb preferably in the simple present. For example in the abstract's conclusions: TUS56 exhibits more leafiness and transpires more than TUS59 and the sugarcane genotype.	Done. Added . CDWPT: Cumulative Daily Whole-Plant Transpiration. to all three tables. Done. correction done in caption Figure 7. Done. Verbiage of Conclusions was decreased 50%