



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38309
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomass Production and Water Economy of Sugarcane and Energy Cane Genotypes Grown in Water-Deficient and Well-Watered Regimes
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript of the proposal addresses the differences in biomass production between two respective sugarcane lines. The purpose shown here was satisfactory. Some changes are necessary in the text, especially in relation to the inclusion of citation of articles dealing with the same theme. More quotations on the yield of sugarcane under water stress are better to be inserted. with this, there will be a better condition for discussion of the data obtained.	See highlighted areas in manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Only 18 citations are adopted in manuscript. The discussion was poorly developed due a few references cited.it must be improved.	As mentioned in the previous review, this is a research area with very little research; therefore, citations are limited
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No comments.	