

Editorial Comments:

Enclosed you can find an article with my comments. I am waiting for a response from the Authors.

Authors feedback:

Abstract : suggestions accepted.

Line 94: sentence changed for: with 1 mol/L phosphoric acid using of a pH meter.

Line 100: replaced DAS for days after sowing

Line 109: Exclude table 2 as suggested and re-number tables and text

Line 110: replaced Plant Height (PH) for Plant Height (HP)

Line 143: accepted, added 0.01g

Line 146: added in a wet basis.

Line 148: added space

Standardized in all paper the units kg/ha, kg/100 L, g/ha e L/ha, as like other recent published articles in JEAI.

Line 153: added... Data were checked for normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk W test) and homogeneity of variance (Levene's Test).

Line 172: Added to Legend table: HP = Plant Height, GY = Grain Yield, HW = Hectoliter Weight, 1000 GW = 1000 Grain Weight, NSS = Number of Spikelets per Spike, NGS = Number of Grains per Spike, S>1.175 = Percentage of grains larger than 1.75 mm

Line 277, 278 e 325 changed of "WR" and "WoR" for only WR e WoR

Line 323: The cophenetic correlation measures the degree of fit between the dissimilarity matrix and the resulting matrix of simplification due to the clustering method. The value close to 100% indicates that the grouping method used was adequate. The correlation between the variables, which is the questioning, was not carried out and would have to do Pearson's correlation. The objective was to group the most similar treatments as to the degree of phytotoxification was successfully obtained. And the method adopted with cophenetic correlation of 98% indicates that it was appropriate.