



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38178
Title of the Manuscript:	pH of Water and Tank Mixture Between Growth Regulator and Agrochemicals in Wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 1 & 2: The topic lacks coherence. Consider re-writing as “pH of water and tank mixture comprising growth regulator and agrochemicals in wheat” Line 45 – 49: The aim does not holistically depict either the topic or the method used. Is it only the pH of water, what of the pH of the mixture?	Line 1 & 2: suggestion accepted. Line 45-49: changed for: The effect of lowering previously the of pH of the water used in the tank mixture spraying...
Minor REVISION comments	- Line 15: is it the mixture or the mixing? Please verify and make amends - Line 19: Should be “water is used as diluent in all spray mixtures” - Line 24: leaf wet? Please present in a clearer term - Line 28: <i>et al</i> should be in italics - Line 67: “t” is not an SI unit, please review - Line 80 – 82: ‘g i.a.’ is not a recognized SI unit, please clarify. - Line 110: Put ‘colon’ after plant height - Line 139 & 141: Always separate unit from number e.g. 100 g. - Line 153: Instead of “submitted”, use “subjected” - Line 159: The name of the software should be accompanied with “Version”, and “Manufacture” - Line 206: The description here looks vague. Are all the values mean values? The table caption did not state that. Please elucidate. - Line 349: Please verify the “Portuguese” added after reference. Remove if not in line with journal’s reference method.	- Line 15 – suggestion accepted - Line 19 – suggestion accepted - Line 24: changed for: maintaining the water film on the plant leaf for longer time - Line 28: suggestion accepted - Line 67: suggestion accepted and changed for “tons” like other articles of this journal; - Line 80 – 82: suggestion accepted, reviewed and rewrite for g/ha of active ingredient... - Line 110: suggestion accepted - Line 139 & 141: suggestion accepted in all article. - Line 153: suggestion accepted - Line 159: suggestion accepted, changed for R software version 3.4.2 / R-project website. - Line 206: suggestion accepted; changed for : Single effects and interactions for height ... because on each treatment the values are individual mean, and bottom line are a general mean, as single effect of WR or WoR. - Line 349: suggestion accepted - In all article we revise de g.ha⁻¹; L.ha⁻¹; g.L⁻¹;... and changer for g/ha; L/ha g/L... these changes are in yellow collors.
Optional/General comments		Thanks for the suggestions. We have edited the article putting them in the international standards, we hope to have met all the standards.