



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37858
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth regulators on in vitro multiplication of yellow passion fruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	First of all, I would like to thanks the author/s for this study. Here are some opinion and corrections; IN TOPiC 1- "In vitro" can be italized. 2- 2- Latin name of the plant can be written. IN ABSTRACT: Sufficient IN INTRODUCTION: Sufficient IN MATERIAL AND METHODS: 1- Line 60: not only "regulators" add please "plant growth" 2- Line 76: DMS can be written clearly in paranthesis	IN TOPIC 1 "in vitro" was italized 2 The latin name of the plant was written IN MATERIAL AND METHODS All suggested changes were accepted. IN RESULTS It was changed the term "largest" for "longest".



SDI Review Form 1.6

	3- Line 75 and 81: what is carbohydrate doses?? IN RESULTS 1- Line 124 and 128: not “largest” I think you mean “longest” as being figure. IN ALL TEXT: 1- “In vitro” can be italicized. 2- Photographs can be added. 3- Also data in table can be added. 4- There are lots of tissue culture studies about the plant on the internet, so discussion can be richer if you add more.	Unfortunately we don’t have pictures of this specific experiment. We are of the opinion that data in figures are much more illustrative and elucidative than in tables.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		