

Editors Comments:

The authors did not make the modification I did in the revised article

The authors have to refer to the authors of the paper

for example page 12

the authors wrote : [27] reported should be written as : Raouf et al. [27] reported.

but when the authors refer to statement for an author of article , they refer to the number of reference in the list of references

for example page 6:

The soil pH was measured with standard glass electrode pH meter [16]. O.K. That is correct

these corrections were made in the first copy in red color

in the second copy, the latest one I made the corrections in blue

The authors have changed the number of reference in the last copy . from 36 refs. to 37 refs.

as a result of that , numbering of references in text could be changed.

The authors have to check every reference number in the text.

References [17] and 37 are not cited in text.

No numbering for list of references REFERENCES not 5. REFERENCES

row spacings in text has been corrected to row spacing

However references and text should be written according to the journal style

Authors Feedback:

All comments are accepted and incorporated accordingly. Citation of authors in the body was corrected accordingly. All references in the body and reference list were rechecked and some corrections were made. Regarding, reference 17 it was there in the text, but it appeared as if it is missing because it was cited as superscript form and was not visible. Similarly, reference number 37 is not cited, and after rechecking the references it appeared that one reference substituted in place reference number 24, but the previously cited reference was not removed from reference list, which caused double counting and increasing the reference number to 37.