SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_35900**
Title of the Manuscript:	**HEAVY METAL LEVELS IN BEANS (Vignaunguiculata) IN SELECTED MARKETS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The scientific paper has several flaws such as: in material and methods, the analytical method for the digestion of the samples is not well described, as it is not known what the temperature was used and has no citation for the method. The work is only a presentation of results, there is not enough discussion for a publication.	The observation had been attended to. More description of material and methods. With citation of the adopted method.
Minor REVISION comments	It is important to state the name of the certified reference material used to ensure the accuracy and reproducibility of the analytical method.	
Optional/General comments		