

EFFECTS OF LEGUME INTEGRATION IN A KALE CROPPING SYSTEM AND APPLICATION OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON SOIL NUTRIENT, KALE NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND YIELD IN KABETE SUB-COUNTY KENYA

Abstract

Declining soil fertility is the main factor hindering kale production a common vegetable in parts of Kiambu County Kenya. Against this backdrop, field experiments to evaluate the effect of legume integration with kale and application of organic fertilizers on soil N,P and OC, kale N, P,K and yield was set up in Kabete field station, Kenya in the long and short rainy season of 2014 for two crop season. The experimental layout was a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with a split plot arrangement. The main plots were cropping systems; (i) mono-cropping (sole kale [*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* D.C]), (ii) Intercropping (White lupin [*Lupinus Albus* L]/kale and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L)/kale and (iii) rotation (white lupin-kale) and chickpea-kale. The spilt plots were the organic fertilizers inputs; Minjingu rock phosphate (MRP) and Farm yard manure (FYM), and the control (without application of organic fertilizer). Soil total N, available P, organic carbon, plant N, P uptake and yield were analyzed at the intervals of 1, 2 and 3 months of kale development. The soil total N was higher in the lupin/kale intercrop with application of FYM during the first month and a decline was registered at the second month. The third month registered a slight increase of total N in all combinations. The second season registered a similar trend to the first season. Plant available P was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) in the lupin/kale intercrop with MRP applied in the first month. The second month registered significant lower amounts of P in all cropping systems and organic fertilizer inputs interactions as compared to first month. During the third month, an increase in plant available P was recorded with lupin-kale rotation with MRP applied recording the highest amounts with the kale monocrop (Ctrl) recording the lowest. Similar trend of plant available P was registered in the second season. There were no significant changes in soil organic carbon levels across cropping systems and organic fertilizers inputs throughout the sampling period for both the first and the second season. Significantly higher nitrogen concentration was obtained in a kale monocrop with application of FYM in the first month; the second month, recorded significant high amounts of N in the kale monocrop (FYM) while the third month showed significant lower concentrations. Phosphorus concentration was significantly increased where kale was intercropped with lupin with application of MRP in all the sampling periods. During the second season, the trend in N and P concentration was similar to that of the first season. Pronounced kale yield were realized across all cropping systems and organic fertilizers inputs interactions.. Higher yields were obtained in a; lupin-kale rotation (FYM and MRP); chickpea-kale rotation (FYM and MRP) and kale monocrop (FYM). Lupin/kale intercrop (FYM) showed a positive correlation ($R^2=0.99$) between soil and plant N in both seasons. Lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP showed positive correlation ($R^2=0.986$) between soil and plant P in both seasons. , White lupin in rotation with application of FYM outperformed chickpea but was better than the kale mono crop. Integration of white lupin (intercrop/rotation) with the application of

FYM and MRP in a kale production system leads to a significant improvement on soil total N, plant available P and organic carbon. The improved soil fertility translated to improved N, P concentration and yield of kales. Similarly where lupin was integrated positive correlations between soil and kale nutrient was positive. The said legumes can be made readily available to the small holder farmers and the organic fertilizers are locally available materials which are affordable to the farmers. The improved soil nutrient status will translate into, improved kale production and consequently the farmer's livelihoods.

Key words: Cropping systems, kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala*), organic fertilizers, legumes, soil fertility

INTRODUCTION

The production potential of kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* D.C), commonly known as *sukuma wiki*, which is a popular vegetable in many Kenyan smallholder households, is limited primarily by plant availability of **nitrogen (N)** and **phosphorus (P)** (Anyango, 2005; Batiano and Mokwunye, 1991b). The plant availability of N and P is generally declining following soils deterioration (Chase and Singh, 2014; Pal *et al.*, 2013). Nutrients lost from the soil through harvested products are not adequately replenished due to the expensive inorganic fertilizers (Rao *et al.*, 2002; Onwonga *et al.*, 2013) and thus poses a risk of food shortages (Mtei *et al.*, 2013).

However, due to escalating levels of poverty, small-scale farmers cannot afford to purchase the inorganic fertilizers (Ginkel, 2011). The constraints to inorganic fertilizer use in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) calls for investigation into the possibility of intensifying crop production by substituting and or complementing with alternate and locally available means to meet the nutrient requirements of crops (Mutala, 2012).

Minjingu rock phosphate (MRP) could be a viable option to the expensive inorganic P fertilizers. However, its use in soil fertility improvement is limited by its relatively low insolubility. It is envisioned that white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) can mobilize P from MRP and increasing crop available N through biological nitrogen fixation.

Most studies on the use of MRP and farm yard manure (FYM) with the integration of legumes have been on cereal-based cropping systems but none published studies reports on kale based cropping system. Previous studies have shown that (RP) application and integration of legumes; white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) in maize cropping systems could provide a feasible and low-cost alternative for rebuilding soil fertility (van Straaten and Jama, 2006; Opala *et al.*, 2013; Lelei *et al.*, 2014). Apart from fixing N atmospherically derived N (Giller, 2001), legumes can also solubilize sparingly soluble P sources through rhizosphere processes (Horst *et al.*, 2001; Lelei *et al.*, 2014) resulting in increased plant available N and P levels in soil. Chickpea and lupin exude carboxylates from their roots that have the capacity to solubilize MRP (Veneklaas *et al.*, 2003 Gerke *et al.*, 2001).

The objective of the current study was to investigate possibilities for intensifying kale-based cropping systems through integration of grain legumes supplemented with locally available resources like RP and FYM to improve soil nutrient status, kale nutrient uptake and yield.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Site Description

The on-station study was conducted at the Kabete field station located at the campus of University of Nairobi, located about 10 km North of Nairobi. The station is about 1940 m a.s.l and is located on 01.24356S and 36. 74186 E under the agro-ecological zone III (Jaetzold *et al.*, 2006). The site has a bimodal rainfall distribution (mid – March – May, long rains; October – December, short rains). Kabete has an average annual precipitation of 1000 mm and a minimum and maximum mean temperature of 13.7°C and 24.3°C respectively. The soils are predominantly deep red Nitisols containing 60 – 80% clay particles (FAO, 1990). The clay mineral is predominantly kaolin white and the parent material is the Kabete *trachyte*. In reference to soil analysis prior to planting, the soil had a near neutral pH (H₂O) of 6.3, organic carbon level of 2.83% total N 0.32%, available P of 11 ppm, bulk density of 25g/cm³, and mineral N 25.20 kg/ha according to Landon (1991) nutrient classification.

2.1 Experimental design and Treatments

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with a split plot arrangement. The main plots were the cropping systems (i) mono-cropping (sole kale [*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* D.C]), (ii) intercropping (white lupin [*Lupinus albus* L]/kale; chickpea [*Cicer arietinum* L]/kale and (iii) crop rotation (white lupin-kale; chickpea-kale. The split plots were the different organic fertilizers (i) FYM; (ii) MRP; and (iii) Control (where no fertilizer was applied). Plot sizes were 3.75 m by 4.8 m with a 0.5 m and 1 m wide footpath between the plots and blocks respectively. They were replicated three times (Table 1).

Table1. Treatments and crop sequence on field experiment for the Long rain (LRS) and Short rain season (SRS) of 2014

Cropping systems	Crops	Organic fertilizers	Crop/season	
			LRS	SRS
Monocrop	Kale	MRP	Kale	Kale
	Kale	FYM	Kale	Kale
	Kale	CNTRL	Kale	Kale
Rotation	Lupin-kale	MRP	Lupin	Kale
	Lupin-kale	FYM	Lupin	Kale
	Lupin-kale	CNTRL	Lupin	Kale
	Chickpea-kale	MRP	Chickpea	Kale
	Chickpea-kale	FYM	Chickpea	Kale
	Chickpea-kale	CNTRL	Chickpea	Kale
Intercropping	Lupin/kale	MRP	Lupin/kale	Lupin/kale
	Lupin/kale	FYM	Lupin/kale	Lupin/kale
	Lupin/kale	CNTRL	Lupin/kale	Lupin/kale
	Chickpea/kale	MRP	Chickpea/kale	Chickpea/kale
	Chickpea/kale	FYM	Chickpea/kale	Chickpea/kale
	Chickpea/kale	CNTRL	Chickpea/kale	Chickpea/kale

MRP-Minjingu Rock Phosphates, FYM-Farm Yard Manure, CNTRL-Control

2.3 Agronomic practices

Two cropping systems were involved with first season comprising of (i) sole kale monocrop; (ii) intercrop (white lupin/kale and chickpea/kale); (iii) legume pure stands (white lupin and chickpea) and the second season, (i) sole kale monocrop; (ii) intercrop (white lupin/kale and Chickpea/kale); (iii) rotations (chickpea-kale and white lupin-kale).

All plots were ploughed before the application of the organic fertilizers. MRP was broadcasted at the rate of 60 kg P per ha (496kg per ha) and then incorporated to a depth of 0 – 0.15 m before planting. Farm yard manure¹ was applied at a rate of 10 tonnes per ha. Kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* D.C.) chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*) and white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L) (intercrop) were transplanted and sown respectively. Kales were planted at a spacing of 60 cm and 45 cm between and within the row in all treatments. Four weeks after transplanting, the seedlings were thinned to one plant per hole. Weeding was carried out after the emergence of weeds and was done twice.

In the intercropping system one row of legume, either lupin or chickpea was sown between two kale rows, at the rate of two seeds per hole. Intra cropping distance of 30 cm for the legumes was maintained. For rotation system, in the SRS, chickpea and lupin were sown at the rate of two seeds per hole as sole crops and at a spacing of 75 × 30 cm. Thinning to one seedling per hole was done four weeks after sowing for all crops. The plots were kept weed free throughout the crop growing season through manual control.

2.4 Soil, plant sampling and analysis

2.4.1 Soil and plant sampling

Composite top soil (0-20 cm) samples, for determination of initial soil properties were collected in a zig-zag manner, from the experimental area before set up of the experiment For determination of plant available N, P and organic carbon, soil samples were collected after 1, 2 and 3 months of kale transplanting. The soil was sampled in each experimental unit composited and a 500 g sample obtained.

Nutrient concentrations and yields of the kales were determined after 1, 2, and 3 months where leaves were randomly sampled from ten kale plants and fresh weights taken using a weighing balance. Soil samples were bagged in polythene bags while the crop samples were put in khaki bags and transported to the laboratory, air- dried to constant weight sieved through a 2mm mesh and stored till analysis.

2.4.2 Soil and plant analyses

The soil samples were analyzed for pH both (in H₂O) soil total nitrogen, plant available P and organic carbon. The pH was measured with a glass electrode pH meter on 1: 2.5 (^{w/v}) suspension of soil in water after shaking for 30 minutes (Okalebo *et al.*, 2002). The plant available P content was estimated with the Molybdenum Blue method (Mehlich *et al.*, 1962) after shaking for 30 minutes at 1:10 ratio with double acid (conc. HCl and H₂SO₄). The organic C was estimated by

¹ pH -H₂O (8.53), N (1.88%), Organic C (11.92%); P(5ppm).

the Walkley-Black method (Black, 1965). The total N was measured by the semi-micro Kjeldahl method (Black, 1965). Soil texture was determined using hydrometer method (Black *et al.*, 1965). Undisturbed core samples were used in bulk density determination (Blake and Hartge, 1986).

The dried kale samples were finely ground and 5 grams used for analysis. The N concentration was analyzed using the micro-Kjeldahl method after digestion (Black, 1965). P concentrations were determined based on the nitric perchloric acid wet digestion (Jones and Case 1998).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Soil N, P, Organic C, kale N, P and yield data were subjected to analysis of variance using Genstat statistical software (Payne *et al.*, 2006). The effects of cropping system and organic fertilizers on soil nutrient, kale nutrient concentrations and yield were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) at plot level. Least significant differences (LSD) test was used to identify significant differences among means ($P \leq 0.05$) between months and seasons. Correlations were analyzed using the STATA software.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of organic fertilizers and cropping systems in soil nutrients

3.1.1 Organic Carbon

There were no significant interaction effects between organic fertilizers and cropping systems in the first month of first season. A slight increase was recorded in the lupin/kale intercrop and chickpea-kale rotation with application of FYM. In the second month, there was a slight increase in organic carbon in all plots where FYM was applied; significant higher amounts were recorded in a lupin/kale intercrop and lupin-kale rotation. Moderate increase was recorded in the third month of sampling in a; lupin/kale intercrop and chickpea/kale intercrop with application of FYM (Fig. 1).

In the first month of second season, there was a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) interaction effects between cropping systems and organic fertilizers (Fig 2). There was a significant increase on organic carbon where lupin-kale rotation (FYM), chickpea-kale rotation (MRP), whereas the lowest amounts were recorded in the chickpea/kale intercrop (MRP and Cntrl). In the second month, moderate increase in organic carbon was recorded where lupin- kale rotation with application of FYM and MRP. There was also a significant increase in a chickpea-kale rotation with application of FYM. The third month, there was a slight decrease in organic carbon levels in all FYM treated plots as compared to second month, whereas MRP treated plots recorded a similar trend with no major difference. The control recorded a slight increase in the lupin/kale, chickpea/kale intercrops and a decrease in the chickpea-kale rotation and monocrops (Fig. 1).




Fig 1. Effects of different cropping systems and organic inputs on soil organic carbon

Key: MRP-Minjinga rock phosphate, FYM- farm yard manure CP-chickpea, LUP- lupin. Values are the mean ($n = 3$) $\pm$ S.E

The organic C kept increasing slowly throughout the sampling periods where lower levels were registered in the first month whereas the second and third month showed a slight increase. A similar trend was recorded in the second season. The changes in organic C could be attributed to the plant residues which could have dropped to the soil. Changes in soil organic C is slow and typically take five years (Baldock, 2012). Kouyaté *et al.* (2012) and Myaka *et al.* (2006) had also observed that integration of legumes within cropping systems did not improve soil organic C. Another contributing factor could be due to tillage which opened up the soil and hence increases the decomposition rates. Tiessen *et al.* (2001) reported that soils in the tropics have little stable carbon and cultivation could enhance destabilization and further losses of soil organic C even when residues are incorporated into soil regularly. Bwenya and Terokun (2001) reported that return of crop residues is effective in restocking soil organic C.

Higher levels of organic carbon under intercropping plots involving white lupin could be attributed to its higher biomass production compared to chickpea. Engedaw 2012 also observed higher above ground biomass by lupin compared to other legumes. A study done by Onwonga *et al.* (2015) though on sorghum intercropped with dolichos reported higher organic carbon in such interactions due to higher biomass production by dolichos as compared to pigeon pea.

The comparison between the intercropping and rotation system in the first season, showed that lupin/kale intercrop had higher organic carbon than lupin-kale rotation. Chickpea/kale intercrop also had higher soil organic C during the first season than chickpea-kale rotation. This pattern may have been due to high amount of biomass produced under intercropping leading to crop residues being made available for decomposition. A study though on pinto peanut showed that its use as a permanent cover crop in maize could increase organic C because of the above and below ground biomass (Ngome *et al.*, 2012).

These results also conform to those by Onwonga *et al.* (2015) on sorghum and cassava being intercropped with dolichos. In the second season, both intercropping and rotation systems recorded higher levels of soil organic C compared to the monocrop systems. The high soil organic carbon in the rotations could be attributed to the legume forages from the first season which underwent decomposition and rather acted as manures. A research carried out by Shirtliffe, (2005) also reported that legume manures has increased benefits such as the ability to soil carbon.

The adequate levels of organic carbon in the lupin/kale intercrop; chickpea-kale rotation and lupin-kale rotation in the second season, was due to the legume forages from the first season that was incorporated into the soil hence raising the organic carbon content. Where Farm yard manure was applied, even in a monocrop system, there was a significant increase in the organic carbon levels. Application of farm yard manure is known to play an important role in increasing soil carbon as it decomposes; similar findings were reported by Banger (2008), who found that continuous application of FYM to the soil leads to increase in soil organic carbon (SOC) in all the soil fractions.

The slight increase in organic carbon where FYM was applied may be attributed to its slower decomposition rate and hence organic carbon build up. Addition of FYM has been shown to increase soil organic carbon (Adekayode & Ogunkoya, 2011; Ali *et al.* (2009). Kapkiyai *et al.* (1999) reported that return of crop residue to soil may not be as effective in restocking soil organic carbon compared to addition of farm yard manure. The lack of difference between the

cropping systems at the second and third month could be attributed to the fact that organic carbon build up can take a long time.

Increased organic carbon levels where FYM was applied conform to the study by Bayu *et al.* (2006) who also concluded that FYM application increased soil organic carbon content by up to 67% over the control treatment. The high levels of carbon recorded in the crop rotations systems as shown in season two was a result of crop residues from the legumes would further act as manures thus increasing the soil organic carbon. This was in agreement with a study by Knight and Shirliffe, (2005) who found out that legume manure has increased benefits such as the ability to increase soil carbon.

3.1.2 Total Nitrogen

In the first season, the total N was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) high during the first month of sampling in the lupin/kale intercrop (FYM) followed by MRP. In the second month, lupin/kale intercrop registered significant higher levels of N whereas the sole kale monocrop (MRP and Cntrl) recorded the lowest amount. In the third month of sampling, there was no significant increase in nitrogen from the second month. The lupin/kale intercrop with application of FYM had significantly higher amounts of nitrogen in comparison to other combinations (Fig 2).

In the second season, the trend was similar to that of the first season, albeit lower levels during the first month cutting across all cropping systems. The second month of sampling, there was a significant reduction of soil total nitrogen in all combination. In the third month there was a marked increase in the lupin/kale intercrop with application of FYM, followed by the lupin-kale rotation with application of FYM, whereas the lowest amounts were obtained in the sole kale monocrop with application of MRP (Fig 2).









Fig 2. Effects of organic fertilizers and cropping systems on soil total nitrogen (%)

Key: MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, FYM- farm yard manure, CP-chickpea, LUP- lupin. Values are the mean ($n = 3$) $\pm$ S.E.

There was higher nitrogen concentration at the first month of season one, the levels declined during the second month and a slight increase was registered in the third month. A similar trend was recorded in the second season but higher levels were registered. The declined amounts of total N at the second month could be attributable to plant uptake. Most crops take up majority of the nutrients during periods of vegetative growth (Mengel, 1996).

White lupin-kale rotation and chickpea-kale rotation systems registered significantly higher amounts of total nitrogen in the second season could be attributed to the fact that Lupin-kale rotations and lupin/kale intercrops recorded higher nitrogen levels across all treatments as compared to chickpea/kale intercrop and chickpea-kale rotation and this could be due to above ground biomass which dropped during season one growth. The above ground biomass of lupin is more than that of chickpea. Similar finding on the amount of above ground biomass were documented by Engedaw (2012).

The high soil total nitrogen observed in the intercropping system and rotation systems than mono-cropping across all seasons could have resulted from N fixed by the legume component. These findings are also similar to those conducted by Anyango (2005) who found that kale-legume intercrop had the highest soil nitrogen content in both seasons if the rotation of kales was not available. In another study conducted by Defra 2004, legume intercrops and organic rotations were found to often provide a supplementary boost of N during the fertility depleting phase by the growing of a leguminous crop, such as field beans or peas. Similarly, rotational fallows or relay intercrops have shown to increase nitrogen input and structural stability of the soil according to a study done by, Sileshi *et al.* (2010).

In comparing the legumes, where lupin was integrated, significant higher levels of nitrogen were registered as compared to chickpea. The higher levels of nitrogen recorded where lupin was intercropped could be as a result of nitrogen fixation by legume component. Higher total nitrogen under Lupin/kale compared to chickpea/kale could be due to higher fixation of N by lupin compared to chickpea as well as superior litter. Brady & Weil (2002) also reported that higher rates of nitrogen release through biological fixing where lupin was planted as compared to chickpea.

FYM treated plots registered significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher total N content compared to MRP across cropping systems and seasons. The significant increase could be attributable to the fact that FYM contains high nitrogen levels and the slow nature of N release so its application into the soil resulted in an increase in soil N as compared to application of MRP. Adekayode and Ogunkoya (2011) observed higher N content in plots treated with organic fertilizer attributing this observation to direct input of N and ability of manure to make N available for a long time due to slower release of N from the high residual pool. Application of MRP also recorded slightly higher but not significant amounts of total N in comparison to the controls. These findings could be attributed to improved soil condition and hence nitrification. This could also be because of increased number of nitrifies where MRP was applied. A Similar study was carried out by Onwonga *et al.* (2008) on soil effects of MRP application on soil total nitrogen.

3.1.3 Available phosphorus:

Plant available phosphorus significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased in the first month even more than the initial value. The lupin/kale intercrop (MRP) followed by chickpea/kale (MRP) recorded higher amounts of phosphorus with the lowest amounts in the sole kale monocrop (Cntrl). In the second month, there was decrease in plant available P levels throughout the cropping systems and organic fertilizers combination. Significant high levels of P were recorded in lupin-kale rotation with application of MRP. The lowest levels were recorded in the chickpea/kale intercrop (Cntrl) (Table 4). At the third month there was a significant ($P \leq 0.05$), lupin/kale intercrop; lupin-kale intercrop (MRP, FYM) recorded significant amounts of phosphorus whereas the kale monocrop under all organic fertilizers recorded the lowest levels throughout the sampling period (Fig. 3).

The second season, first month plant available P significantly increased in all the cropping systems and organic fertilizers combinations. Relative amounts of phosphorus were recorded in the white lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP. The second month recorded a decrease in soil available P levels. The white lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP recorded highest levels in the month whereas; the lowest levels were recorded in the Chickpea-kale rotation (Cntrl). The third month, recorded moderately higher amounts of soil P in the white lupin/kale; chickpea/kale intercrop with application of MRP whereas, the lowest amount was in kale monocrop (Cntrl) (Fig. 3).




Fig 3. Effects of different organic inputs and cropping system on plant available phosphorus

Key: MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, FYM- farm yard manure CP-chickpea, LUP- lupin. Values are the mean ($n = 3$) $\pm$ S.E

The changes in plant available P through the sampling periods where the first month registered higher amounts and progressively reduced at the second month through to the third month. The high soil phosphorus recorded at the first month of season one could be attributed to the addition of MRP, legume integration, as well as decomposition of organic residues that were ploughed into the soil. The use of the chickpea and white lupin as either intercrop or in rotation with application of MRP improved the amounts of P. This is because the said legumes have exudates which are acidic in nature and are able to solubilize the P from rock phosphate. Plant and microbial mechanisms can effectively extract P from PR and release it into soil solution or into the labile fraction of soil (Arcand and Schneider, 2006). White lupin plants (*Lupinus albus L.*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L*) have a great ability of mobilizing the sparingly soluble P through changing rhizosphere processes, particularly by citrate exudation (Veneklaas *et al.*, 2003; Neumann *et al.*, 2000).

There were significant lower amounts of plant available P in the intercrops as compared to the rotations and monocrops and it is attributable to the high demand for phosphorus by the crops. Phosphorus is an essential nutrient for plant development and hence needed in high quantities. Similar results have been recorded by White, (2010) who found out that most crops take up a majority of the nutrients during the periods of vegetative growth which in the case of kales they are more vegetative during the early stages of growth.

Comparing the cropping systems, intercrops and rotations registered significant higher levels of phosphorus compared to monocrops. High plant available P under legume plots could be explained in terms of the solubilizing effect of legume exudates on insoluble P (Li *et al.*, 2008). Legume residues which might have dropped decomposed and contributed to increase soil P either through release of organic acids which increase desorption of P (Ogunwole *et al.*, 2009) as opposed to monocrop where there was no legume residues returned to soil.

Plots with application of FYM, led to increased level of phosphorus as this could be attributed to the organic molecules, provided by the FYM which enhanced phosphorus availability by binding exchangeable and hydroxyl-Al, the key fixers of phosphorus in acid soils and they also play key roles in dissolving phosphate rock (Haynes and Mokolobate, 2001). The controls with lupin in either intercrop or rotation system showed higher levels of P, this is due to the exudates released by lupine which was able to release any P which might have been fixed in the soil (Weisskopf, 2006).

3.2 Effects of organic fertilizers and cropping systems on kale nutrient uptake

3.2.1 Nitrogen

Nitrogen concentrations were increased in all organic fertilizers. Significantly higher levels of nitrogen were obtained in kale monocrop (FYM, MRP and control); chickpea/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and control) during the first month of sampling of the first season. The cropping systems had no significant effect on nitrogen concentrations during the first season (Table 4). During the second month, relatively higher levels of nitrogen was obtained in monocrop (FYM, MRP and control); chickpea/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and control). Lower levels of nitrogen were recorded where, lupin/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and control) at the third month of sampling (Table 2).

The second season obtained similar trend of nitrogen concentrations as that the first season but slightly higher concentrations. In the first month, a significant high concentration of nitrogen was recorded in the lupin-kale rotation (FYM) and the lowest under the kale monocrop (MRP). The highest nitrogen concentration was recorded in a kale monocrop (FYM, MRP and control); lupin-kale rotation (FYM, MRP and control); lupin/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and control) at the second month. The lowest concentrations of nitrogen was recorded in; chickpea/kale intercrop {FYM (3.20%), MRP (2.83%), and Control (2.93%)}; lupin-kale rotation {FYM, (3.27%), MRP (2.93%) and Control (2.71%)} in the third month (Table 2).

The nitrogen levels in the leaves of kales kept decreasing as the leaves matured and were higher during the first month of kale development. This is usually the time when kales produce fresh, lushly leaves. Kale monocrop in the first season recorded higher levels of nitrogen as compared to the intercrops and rotation. This is because there was no competition for nutrients by the crops.

The high kale nitrogen concentrations where FYM was applied could be attributed to the improved soil condition which leads to uptake by the kale tissues. The use of FYM influenced the N uptake markedly which could be because of supply of these nutrients and improvement in the soil physical condition for better plant growth which ultimately led to higher N absorption (Sharma *et al.*, 2001).

The high nitrogen contents in the lupin/kale rotation with application of farm yard manure in season two could be attributed to the residues and leaves which dropped from lupin. Lupin is known to have high above ground biomass (Engedaw, 2012). Previous studies have shown that continuous application of FYM lead to an increase in soil N, Banger (2008), and this ultimately leads to high nitrogen contents in the kale tissues.

Table 2: Effects of cropping systems and organic inputs on kale nitrogen concentration (%)

Months of kale growth	Cropping systems	Crop	Long rain season 2014				Short rain season 2014			
			FYM	MRP	CNTRL	MEAN	FYM	MRP	CNTRL	MEAN
1	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	3.70 ^a	3.90 ^{ab}	4.00 ^{ab}	3.87 ^a	4.33 ^{ef}	4.12 ^{def}	3.52 ^{ab}	3.99 ^{ab}
	Intercrop	Chickpea/intercrop	4.15 ^b	3.80 ^{ab}	3.75 ^a	3.90 ^a	4.17 ^{def}	4.13 ^{def}	4.00 ^{cde}	4.1 ^c
	Monocrop	Kales	4.87 ^c	4.00 ^{ab}	4.17 ^b	4.35 ^d	4.340 ^{ef}	3.317 ^a	4.04 ^{def}	3.89 ^a
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	4.40 ^f	3.837 ^{bcd}	3.55 ^{ab}	3.94 ^{ab}
	Rotation	Chickpea-kale	-	-	-	-	4.13 ^{def}	3.643 ^{abc}	3.78 ^{bcd}	3.85 ^a
2	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	3.67 ^b	3.77 ^{bc}	3.41 ^a	3.62 ^a	4.327 ^g	4.317 ^{fg}	3.323 ^{bc}	3.99 ^c
	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	4.09 ^d	3.77 ^{bc}	3.40 ^a	3.75 ^a	4.167 ^{efg}	3.953 ^{def}	3.133 ^b	3.75 ^{ab}
	Monocrop	Kales	4.47 ^e	3.99 ^{cd}	4.22 ^d	4.23 ^{de}	4.193 ^{efg}	4.117 ^{efg}	2.617 ^a	3.64 ^a
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	4.05 ^{efg}	4.07 ^{efg}	3.45 ^{bc}	3.86 ^b
	Rotation	Chickpea-kale	-	-	-	-	3.934 ^{de}	4.313 ^{fg}	3.62 ^{cd}	3.95 ^c
3	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	2.97 ^{bcd}	2.92 ^{bc}	2.21 ^a	2.70 ^a	4.02 ^g	3.91 ^g	3.20 ^c	3.71 ^d
	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	3.10 ^{cde}	3.00 ^{cd}	2.70 ^b	2.93 ^b	3.53 ^f	2.82 ^{ab}	3.04 ^{abcd}	3.12 ^c
	Monocrop	Kales	3.37 ^e	3.23 ^{de}	2.90 ^{bc}	3.17 ^c	3.27 ^{def}	3.030 ^{abcd}	2.75 ^a	3.02 ^b
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	3.27 ^{def}	2.93 ^{abcd}	2.71 ^a	2.97 ^b
	Rotation	Chickpea	-	-	-	-	3.04 ^g	3.17 ^g	2.96 ^{abcd}	2.7 ^a

Key: MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, FYM- farm yard manure, CNTRL-control, C/P-chickpea, LUP-lupin, - rotational system where kale was not planted. Means followed by the same letters in the same month and season in a column is not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

The lupin/kale intercrop system with application of MRP also depicted higher amounts of total nitrogen as compared to other treatments; it can be explained by the availability of phosphorus and the exudates which would have probably ensured the availability of the nutrients from the soil for easier plant uptake. Nitrogen loss in the form of NH_3 by plant canopy has been reported (Fageria *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, in intensive cropping systems, where a no-tillage system is adopted, depletion or loss of organic matter has been reported (Johnson *et al.*, 2006), which may result in N deficiency in crop plants.

Deepa *et al.*, 2011, reported that the nutrient level in raw plant materials in which the main antioxidant in vitamin C depends on factors such as variety, degree of maturity and weather condition during the growth period. Nitrogen is necessary for protein production in the plant for the proper growth of the leaves and for many other critical functions

3.2.2 Phosphorus

Throughout the two seasons of kale growth, the amount of phosphorus in leaves were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased by applications of different organic fertilizers and the integration of the legumes in the cropping systems. There was a positive interaction between the cropping systems and organic fertilizers. During the first month of sampling, lupin/kale intercrops (FYM, MRP and Control); chickpea/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and Control) recorded higher concentrations of phosphorus as compared to kale monocrop (FYM and MRP). At the 2nd month of sampling, the levels of phosphorus in the kale leaves were generally high, kale monocrop (FYM, MRP and Control); chickpea/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and Control); lupin/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and control) (Table 3). The third month recorded a slight decline in phosphorus concentration. Highest concentrations were registered in the kale monocrop with MRP applied.

During the second season, the first month of sampling, lowest phosphorus concentration was recorded across all cropping systems and organic fertilizers. The lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP recorded highest P concentrations whereas; the lowest level was recorded in the sole kale monocrop (Cntrl). The P concentrations highest at the second month of sampling, lupin/kale intercrop (MRP) (6432ppm), same as chickpea/kale intercrop (MRP) (Table 5). The lowest levels phosphorus concentration was obtained in a kale monocrop (FYM, MRP and Control) arrangement. In the third month, there was a decrease in the phosphorus level through the sampling period. Highest concentrations were recorded in the kale monocrop with application of MRP (Table 3).

Table 3: Effects of cropping systems and organic inputs on kale phosphorus concentration (PPM)

Months of kale growth	Cropping system	Crop	Long rain season 2014				Short rain season 2014			
			FYM	MRP	CNTRL	MEAN	FYM	MRP	CNTRL	MEAN
1	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	1400 ^{ab}	1700 ^b	1400 ^{ab}	1500 ^d	1478 ^g	2983 ⁱ	1476 ^g	1979 ^f
	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	1000 ^{ab}	2900 ^c	927 ^{ab}	1609 ^e	1076 ^d	1776 ^h	1003 ^c	1285 ^{bc}
	Monocrop	Kale	587 ^a	1283 ^{ab}	1600 ^b	1156 ^b	705 ^a	902 ^b	659 ^a	755 ^a
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	1370 ^f	1444 ^g	1288 ^e	1367 ^c
	Rotation	Chickpea-kale	-	-	-	-	1407 ^{fg}	1429 ^{fg}	1259 ^e	1365 ^c
2	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	3000 ^{ab}	4433 ^{abc}	4400 ^{abc}	3944 ^b	5139 ^j	6432 ^l	4871 ⁱ	5480 ^e
	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	3200 ^{abc}	3567 ^{abc}	2600 ^a	3122 ^a	3318 ^c	6432 ^l	2751 ^a	4167 ^{cd}
	Monocrop	Kales	5000 ^{cd}	6333 ^d	4733 ^{bcd}	5355 ^e	3126 ^b	4554 ^h	4547 ^h	4075 ^c
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	4008 ^f	4192 ^g	3279 ^c	3826 ^b
	Rotation	Chickpea-kale	-	-	-	-	4232 ^g	5360 ^k	3862 ^e	4518 ^d
3	Intercrop	Lupin/kale	3200 ^{bc}	3867 ^d	2300 ^a	3122 ^b	3373 ^{de}	4042 ^h	2467 ^a	3294 ^c
	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	2933 ^b	3100 ^{bc}	2133 ^a	2722 ^a	3104 ^{bc}	3268 ^{def}	2319 ^a	2897 ^{ab}
	monocrop	Kale	3400 ^{bcd}	4533 ^e	3533 ^{cd}	3822 ^f	3570 ^{efg}	4718 ⁱ	3707 ^{fg}	3998 ^g
	Rotation	Lupin-kale	-	-	-	-	3745 ^g	3537 ^{defg}	2911 ^b	3397 ^d
	Rotation	Chickpea-kale	-	-	-	-	3468 ^{def}	4510 ⁱ	2860 ^b	3612 ^e

Key: MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, FYM- farm yard manure, CNTRL-control, C/P-chickpea, LUP-lupin, - rotational system where kale was not planted. Means followed by the same letters in the same month and season in a column is not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

The changes in phosphorus concentration through the different sampling periods could be attributable to changes in amount of soil P and how the plant was taking up the P. Phosphorus concentration in plants tissue varies with plant age. As a general rule, phosphorus concentration with the advancement of plant age is associated with increased dry matter yield. Similar results of the trend in phosphorus contents in plant tissue were also recorded by Fageria *et al.*, 2011.

High phosphorus concentrations were obtained in MRP and FYM compared to the controls. The increased amount of phosphorus in the kale tissue was contributed to by the additional supply of P after addition of MRP, which contributed to increased root development hence better P uptake and plant growth resulting in more p concentration on the leaves. In previous studies, Liu *et al.* (2004) and Nuruzzaman *et al.* (2005) recorded the important ability of legumes to increase P uptake from soil for subsequent crop in rotation or the companion crop when intercropped through nutrient mobilization.

The increased P concentration in the white lupin/kale intercrop could be due to higher plant uptake of P by both component crops after lupin mobilization of unavailable P and solubilization of MRP. Jones *et al.* (2003), and Lambers *et al.* (2006) noted the important role of plant roots in releasing large amounts of organic acids such as citric acid, in order to mobilize nutrients like P when bound to soil particles and inaccessible for direct plant uptake.

Cu *et al.* (2005) and Li *et al.* (2010) also documented that P availability to a less P efficient crop, in this case maize, was increased through intercropping with a P efficient species. Liu *et al.* (2004) and Nuruzzaman *et al.* (2005) also found that the presence of a legume in a cropping system often increases P uptake for the subsequent crop in rotation or companion crop in an intercropping system. The inability of kale to acidify its rhizosphere means reliance on legumes for this and also takes up P upon its mobilization (Li *et al.* 2007). Liu *et al.* (2004) in a study of maize growth under different cropping systems also found that improved maize growth was not caused by better N nutrition but rather better P uptake. Onwonga *et al.* (2008) also noted that legume rotations had significantly higher yields and this could be attributed to their efficiency in P acquisition from soils.

Phosphorus concentration in plants tissue varies with plant age. As a general rule, phosphorus concentration with the advancement of plant age is associated with increased dry matter yield. The decrease in phosphorus concentration with advancement of plant age is known as the dilution effect. Similar results of the trend in nutrient contents in plant tissue were also recorded by Fageria *et al.*, 2011.

3.3 Correlation between soil and kale nutrient concentrations

In the first month of first season, the soil and kale nutrient were positively correlated in the monocrop cropping systems with application of FYM ($R^2=0.59$) and MRP ($R^2= 0.69$) for N and P respectively. Perfectly strong correlation ($R^2=0.99$) was obtained for P in a lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP. In the second month, negative correlations were obtained for N in most cropping systems and organic fertilizers interactions except for kale monocrop with application of FYM ($R^2= 0.59$). Soil and kale P correlations were positively correlated in the lupin and chickpea/kale intercrop with application of MRP ($R^2= 0.86$, $R^2= 0.52$). During the third month, all cropping systems and organic fertilizers interactions obtained negative correlations with kale

monocrop (FYM)($R^2 = 0.59$) P (MRP)($R^2 = 0.36$) and sole kale monocrop with application of MRP ($R^2 = 0.96$) (P) obtaining positive correlations (Table 4).

In the second season, first month, there was perfect correlations between soil and kale N and P concentrations in all plots applied with FYM except for P correlation in lupin/kale intercrop ($R^2 = -0.78$). Application of MRP obtained negative correlation for N in the lupin-kale intercrop. The control obtained perfect N correlations in a chickpea-kale rotation and kale monocrop ($R^2 = 0.99$) whereas soil and kale P a perfect positive correlation was obtained in the lupin/kale intercrop ($R^2 = 0.99$). In the second month, all plots with FYM application had positive N correlations except for kale monocrop. The MRP treated plots; positive P correlations were obtained in all plots whereas the controls obtained negative correlations. The third month obtained negative N correlations where FYM was applied except in the lupin/kale intercrop where perfect positive correlation was obtained $R^2 = (0.995)$. Soil and plant P were positively correlated with application of FYM except for the lupin-kale rotation ($R^2 = -0.994$). Where MRP was applied negative N correlations was obtained whereas P was positively correlated. The control plots obtained both negative and positive correlations a similar trend obtained for P (Table 5).

Table 4; Effect of different cropping systems and organic fertilizers on correlations between soil and plant Nutrients during the first season

Month	CROPPING SYSTEM	CROP	Soil and kale nutrient LRS (First season)					
			FYM		MRP		CNTRL	
			N	P	N	P	N	P
1	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	-0.19	-0.179	-0.5	0.89	-0.65	-0.98
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	-0.56	-0.174	-0.76	0.99	-0.188	-0.79
	Monocrop	Kales	0.59	0.69	-0.79	0.37	-0.93	-0.914
2	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	-0.19	0.52	-0.5	0.23	-0.65	-0.43
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	-0.56	0.86	-0.76	0.39	-0.188	-0.41
	Monocrop	Kales	0.59	-0.09	-0.79	-0.605	-0.93	-0.465
3	Intercrop	Chickpea/kale	-0.19	-0.99	-0.5	-0.49	-0.65	0.58
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	-0.56	-0.92	-0.76	0.912	-0.188	0.58
	Monocrop	Kales	0.59	0.36	-0.79	0.96	-0.93	-0.98

Key: FYM-Farm yard manure, MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, CNTRL- control, P-phosphorus, N-Nitrogen

Table 5; .Effect of different cropping systems and organic fertilizers on correlations between soil and plant nutrients for the second season

Month	CROPPING SYSTEM	CROP	Soil and kale nutrients SRS (Second season)					
			FYM		MRP		CNTRL	
			N	P	N	P	N	P
1	Intercrop	C/pea/kale	0.99	0.92	0.156	-0.23	-0.866	0.299
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	0.976	-0.78	1	-0.84	0.549	0.99
	Rotation	C/pea-kale	0.99	0.989	0.99	0.998	0.99	-0.596
	Rotation	Lupine-kale	0.943	0.897	-0.326	0.937	0.54	0.733
	Monocrop	Kales	0.897	0.82	0.484	0.96	0.99	-0.897
2	Intercrop	C/pea/kale	0.796	-0.99	-0.291	0.819	-0.953	-0.461
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	0.998	-0.76	-0.834	0.996	-0.885	-0.97
	Rotation	C/pea-kale	0.228	-0.970	-0.52	0.994	-0.52	-0.1
	Rotation	Lupine-kale	0.427	-0.760	-0.106	0.820	-0.327	0.923
	Monocrop	Kales	-0.5	-0.5	-0.111	0.29	-0.29	-0.1
3	Intercrop	C/pea/kale	-0.378	0.98	-0.649	0.63	-0.397	0.99
	Intercrop	Lupine/kale	0.995	0.994	-0.190	0.973	0.419	-0.94
	Rotation	C/pea-kale	-0.992	0.991	-0.981	0.689	-0.992	0.176
	Rotation	Lupine-kale	-0.155	-0.944	-0.949	0.944	-0.845	-0.583
	Monocrop	Kales	-0.976	0.111	-0.912	0.99	0.654	0.99

Key: FYM-Farm yard manure, MRP-Minjingu rock phosphate, CNTRL- control, P-phosphorus, N-Nitrogen, C/pea- chickpea

The positive correlations recorded in the first month with application of MRP could be attributed to improved soil quality and kale was still at the initial development stages, as the kale took up the nutrients the rock phosphate was able to supply more P to the soil. The lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP was strongly positively correlated. This could be attributed to the lupin releasing exudates which could have activated the release of the Insoluble P in the soil and in the MRP making them available. White lupin plants (*Lupinus albus L.*) have a great ability of mobilizing the sparingly soluble P through changing rhizosphere processes, particularly by citrate exudation (Veneklaas *et al.*, 2003; Neumann *et al.*, 2000). Perfect positive correlations by N recorded in the FYM treated plots could be attributed to the high amounts of nitrogen supplied by the fertilizer. Application of FYM in the second month of season one obtained a perfect positive correlation; as much as kale took up nitrogen, FYM was able to replenish it. These results were similar to those of the second season. Previous studies have shown higher soil

organic matter due to addition of FYM has been proven to closely correlate with amount of N in soil (Kapkiyai *et al.*, 1999)

The intercrop systems with application of FYM obtained negative correlations. This could be attributed the plants taking up nutrients and at this point there were two plants competing for nutrients. Most crops take up majority of the nutrients during periods of vegetative growth (Mengel, 1996). The controls obtained negative correlations this happened because no fertilizers was applied and as the crops were taking up the nutrient, the soil available was being depleted in the soils. At the second month, the negative correlations could be attributed to the much P taken by kale as during these periods, the P concentration on the kale tissue was high. This later translated to lesser phosphorus in the soil. The weak positive relationships obtained in the intercrops (Chickpea and lupin) with application of FYM and MRP could be attributed to the fact that as much as the plant took up the nutrients a significant amount was left in the soil, and this was due to application of FYM and MRP which improved the soil nutrient status. Both chickpea and lupin are known to release exudates which help to release any P in the soil and in the MRP.

The effect of the cropping systems where intercrops and monocrops obtained similar results, the legumes chickpea and white lupin could have obtained such results due to its ability to fix nitrogen which lead to an increase in plant available N, whereas the positive correlation in the monocrop could be attributed to the lack of competition for nutrient uptake. IITA (1990) reported faster nutrient uptake and hence competition under intercropping systems. Higher plant available N under intercropping with lupin compared to rotation could be attributed to better nitrogen fixation that may occur under intercropping compared to when legumes are mono cropped as well as higher amount of residue available for decomposition. It has also been reported that intercropping may result in increased amount of nitrogen fixed by legumes as the companion non-fixing crop utilizes excess nitrates in the root zone which would otherwise retard N fixation if they accumulate (Li *et al.*, 2003).

3.4 Effects of the organic fertilizers and different cropping systems on kale yields

The kale yield was significantly affected by the organic fertilizers and integration of legumes. In season one, first month, sole kale monocrop with application of FYM recorded highest yields, followed with the lupin/kale intercrop with application of FYM. The lowest yield was recorded kale monocrop (Cntrl). The second month recorded significantly high yields as compared to the first month. There was a notable increase in a kale monocrop (FYM); chickpea/kale intercrops (FYM). The third month there was a moderate decline in kale yield. Intercropping kale with lupin with application of MRP recorded the highest yields followed by the kale monocrop with application of FYM (Fig 4).

During the second season, first month, highest yields were recorded under, kale monocrop (FYM and MRP); lupin/kale intercrop (FYM, MRP and Control). The second month of sampling, highest yields were recorded where, lupin-kale rotation (FYM, MRP); chickpea/kale

intercrop (MRP and FYM); kale monocrop (FYM, MRP and Control) (Figure 1). The third month recorded a decline in yield, however higher yield was recorded lupin-kale rotation (FYM); chickpea-kale rotation (FYM) and the lowest was recorded in the chickpea and lupin/kale intercrop (Cntrl) (Fig 4).

Increased yield due to FYM and MRP may be due to its beneficial effects both on soil and plant by making sufficient amounts of nutrients available to plant throughout the growth period resulting in better uptake, plant vigor and superior yield attributes (Shiva Kumar and Ahlawat 2008). Higher kale yields were realized in lupin-kale rotation (MRP) followed by lupin-kale intercrop (FYM) and lowest in kale/lupin intercrop (FYM). The high kale yields in treatments involving lupin as a rotation with application of MRP is possibly due to better N fixation by lupin compared to chickpea and P availability. Lupins have been known to be the top legumes with respect to fixing N. Lupins not only have effective nitrogen symbiotic fixing bacteria, but also have symbiotic root fungi that make soil phosphate available to plants (Lelei *et al.*, 2014).

The high kale yield recorded by lupin-kale rotation; lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP could be attributed to better lupin response to P compared to chickpea. This in turn led to better nitrogen fixation and hence higher yield of kale. A previous study conducted by Lelei *et al.*, (2014) showed that under P deficiency conditions, lupin has been found to respond to P fertilizer application in grain yield.

The high kale yields observed under farm yard manure application may be as a result of its ability for improving soil properties which enhances nutrient uptake (Nwachukwu and Ikeadigh, 2012). Applying organic fertilization (compost and animal manure) is widely found to have positive effects on crop yields. The findings are in line with those found by Nalatwadmath *et al.*, (2003) who reported significant grain yields when FYM and P fertilizers were used as compared to control treatments. This implies the possibility of replacing chemical fertilizers for organic fertilizers in places where organic fertilizers are plenty and of high quality. This is supported by Prasad and Sinha (2000), who reported that FYM could substitute 50% NPK for wheat production.




Figure 4: Effects of legume integration and application of organic fertilizers on kale yields

Key: C/P-chickpea, LUP-lupin

In terms of cropping systems, there was no marked difference in kale yield between kale monocrop and legume-kale rotation (lupin-kale and chickpea-kale) systems across all organic fertilizers. There was however a significant difference between kale monocrop and kale/legume intercrop across all the organic fertilizers. This could be attributed to lack of competition as the crops were planted as stand alone. Similar findings were found by Richthofen *et al.*, 2006 who did a study on grains with legumes in rotation and found that yields increased in a rotation system. Despite the lower kale yield obtained by the legume intercrops, farmers are able to harvest more than one crop from the same piece of land. A study conducted by Kasenge (2000) whose study was on maize/bean intercrop reported that intercropping maize with beans was more beneficial in terms higher produce from the same piece of land as compared than monocropping of either crop.

The rotation had higher yields as compared to the other cropping systems and the main reason for the high yields was due to the nitrogen fixed in the soil by the previous legume and was now available for kale uptake hence the high yields. This is in agreement with Onwonga *et al.*, 2008 who noted that legume rotations significantly had higher yields and can be attributed to their efficiency in P acquisition from the soils. Crop rotation has been widely recommended as an effective cultural practice for increasing soil quality and crop yields in southern Brazil. Despite the emphasis given to the matter, studies on effects of crop rotation on yield are still scarce and results achieved have been contradictory (Zotarelli *et al.*, 2012)

4.0 CONCLUSION

The current study was carried out to investigate the effect of legume integration in a kale cropping system with application of organic fertilizers in Kabete Sub County during the LRS (first season) and SRS (Second season) of 2014. From the results, lupin/kale + FYM had highest soil OC during the first season. Lupin-kale rotation with application of FYM recorded the highest amounts of organic carbon during the first season. Significantly higher amounts were recorded in the chickpea-kale + FYM. Significant high amounts of P were recorded in a lupin/kale intercrop (MRP) during both seasons. Similarly in the second season, lupin-kale rotation with application of MRP recorded high P levels. Lupin/kale (FYM) had highest soil N in during both seasons. Lupin-kale rotation (FYM) accumulated highest soil N in the second season. Application of FYM in a sole kale monocrop increased the Nitrogen concentration. Lupin/kale intercrop (FYM) also improved the nitrogen concentration in the kale tissues. Chickpea/kale intercrop with application of FYM improved the nitrogen concentration in the kale tissue. Phosphorus concentration in the kale tissues was improved where lupin or chickpea was intercropped with kale with MRP applied. Chickpea-kale rotation with application of either MRP or FYM improved the potassium concentrations in the kale tissues. Kale yield was improved with application of FYM in the monocrop. Although the monocrop outperformed the intercrops, there is also a benefit of harvesting more than one crop from the same piece of land. In the second season, lupin-kale rotation (FYM) kale yield was increased; similarly chickpea-kale rotation with application of FYM also recorded high yields. Lupin/kale intercrop (FYM) obtained a positive correlation between soil and plant N in both seasons. Lupin/kale intercrop with application of MRP obtained positive correlations between soil and plant P in both seasons. Integration of legumes into cropping systems and application of organic fertilizers thus improved soil nutrient status in the kale based cropping systems. Intercropping kale with chickpea or lupin proved better at enhancing soil organic carbon and NP levels compared to other cropping systems. In addition, if legumes are preferred within cropping system, then use of lupin rotation is recommended. Use of organic fertilizers increased soil nutrients with FYM being superior to MRP in both cases. To enhance fertility of soil, it is therefore recommended that kale/ with lupin alongside application of FYM may be adopted as a sustainable option towards enhanced soil fertility in smallholder farming systems of the Kabete sub county. Moreover, the MRP, FYM are locally available, thus making it an ideal and economical source of nutrients for smallholder farmers and they get to enjoy a diversity of crops to harvest from the same piece of land.

REFERENCES

- Adekayode, F. O., & Ogunkoya, M. O. (2011). Comparative effects of organic compost and NPK fertilizer on soil fertility, yield and quality of amaranth in southwest Nigeria. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 5(2).
- Ahlawat, I. P. S., Gangaiah, B., & Pal Singh, O. M. (2005). Effect of fertilizer and stover management on productivity and soil fertility in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*)-maize (*Zea mays*) cropping system. *Indian journal of agricultural science*, 75(7), 400-403
- Ahmed S, Beigh S, H. (2009). Ascorbic acid, Carotenoids, Total Phenolic content and Antioxidant activity of various genotypes of Brassica oleracea acephala. *Journal of Medical Biological Sciences*, 3(1): 1-8.
- Anyango, B., & Keya, S. (2005). Occurrence of Nodulation in Leguminous Trees in Kenya. *Journal of Tropical Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 1(1): 21-26.
- Badawi, F. S. F., Biomy, A. M. M., & Desoky, A. H. (2011). Peanut plant growth and yield as influenced by co-inoculation with Brady rhizobium and some rhizo-microorganisms under sandy loam soil conditions. *Journals of Agricultural Science*, 56: 17-25.
- Bagayoko M, Buerkart A, Lung G, Bationo A, Römhild V (2000) Cereal/legume rotation effects on cereal growth in Sudano-Sahelian West Africa: soil mineral nitrogen, mycorrhizae and nematodes. *Plant Soil* 218:103–116.
- Baldock, J. A., Wheeler, I., McKenzie, N., & McBratney, A. (2012). Soils and climate change: potential impacts on carbon stocks and greenhouse gas emissions, and future research for Australian agriculture. *Crop and Pasture Science*, 63(3), 269-283.
- Balkaya A, Yanmaz R (2005). Promising kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. acephala) populations from Black Sea region, Turkey. *N. Zealand J. Crop Horticulture. Sci.* 33: 1-7.
- Bationo A, Mokwunye AU (1991b) Alleviating soil fertility constraints to increased crop production in West Africa: the experience of the Sahel. In: Mokwunye A (ed) Alleviating soil fertility constraints to increased crop production in West Africa. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 195–215
- Bationo A, Ntare BR (2000) Rotation and nitrogen fertilizer effects on pearl millet, cowpea and groundnut yield and soil chemical properties in a sandy soil in the semi-arid tropics. *West Afr J Agric Sci* 134:277–284.
- Bloem A, Barnard RO (2001). Effect of annual legumes on soil nitrogen and on subsequent yield of maize and grain sorghum. *South African J Plant Soil* 18:56–61.
- Chalk PM (1998). Dynamics of biologically fixed N in legume-cereal rotations: a review. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*.
- Chase, P., & Singh, O. P. (2014). Soil nutrients and fertility in three traditional land use systems of Khonoma, Nagaland, India. *Resources and Environment*, 4(4), 181-189.
- Deepa, N., Kaur, C., George, B., Singh, B., & Kapoor, H. C. (2007). Antioxidant constituents in some sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) genotypes during maturity. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 40(1):121-129.
- Defra, (2004). Agricultural and Farm Classification in the United Kingdom. Available at <http://www.defra.gov.uk/evidence/statistics/foodfarm/farmmanage/fbs/documents/farmclassification.pdf>. Accessed 19/08/2015
- Emebu, P. K., & Anyika, J.U (2011). Proximate and mineral composition of kale (*Brassica oleracea*) grown in Delta State, Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 10(2):190-194.

- Epstein, M. and A. J Bloom. (2005). Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and perspectives, 2nd edition. Sunderland, MA: *Sinauer Associates*.
- Fageria, N. K. and V. C. Baligar. (2003). Fertility management of tropical acid soil for sustainable crop production. In: *Handbook of soil acidity*, Z. Rengel, Ed.:359–385.
- Fageria, N. K. (2002b). Micronutrients influence on root growth of upland rice, common bean, corn, wheat, and soybean. *Journal Plant Nutrition*. 25:613–622.
- Fageria, N. K. (2009). NUTRIENTS in CROP PLANTS Book. Chapter 3.
- Francisco M, Velasco P, Moreno DA, Garcia-Viguera C, Cartea MA (2010). Cooking methods of Brassica rapa affect the preservation of glucosinolates, phenolics and vitamin C. *Food Resource International*. 43: 1455- 1463.
- Gerke, J., Beißner, L. and Römer, W. (2000). The quantitative effect of chemical phosphate mobilization by carboxyl ate anions on P uptake by a single root. II. The importance of soil and plant parameters for uptake of mobilized P. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 163:213 - 219.
- Gundgaard, J., Nielsen, J. N., Olsen, J., & Sørensen, J. (2003). Increased intake of fruit and vegetables: estimation of impact in terms of life expectancy and healthcare costs. *Public health nutrition*, 6 (01): 25-30.
- Hashimoto, H., Furukawa, Y., & Kimura, S. D. (2005). On-farm assessment of organic amendments effects on nutrient status and nutrient use efficiency of organic rice fields in Northeastern Japan. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 108(4); 350-362.
- Hati K.M.,Mandat K.G.,Misra A.K.,Gosh P.K and Bandyopadhyay K.K. (2006). Effect of inorganic fertilizer and farm yard manure on soil physical properties, root distribution and water-use efficiency of Soybean in vertisols of Central India. *Biosource Technology*, 97: 2182-2188.
- Huber, D. M. and I. A. Thompson. (2007). Nitrogen and plant disease. In: Mineral nutrition and plant disease, L. E. Datnoff, W. H. Elmer, and D. M. Huber, Eds., 31–44. St. Paul, MN: *The American Phyto pathological Society*.
- IFOAM (2005). The IFOAM norms for organic production and processing. IFOAM, Germany.
- Jaetzold, R., Schmidt, H., & Hornetz, B. C. Shisanya.(2006). Farm management handbook of Kenya, 2.
- Kasenge, V., Taylor, D. B., Kyamanywa, S., Bigirwa, G., & Erbaugh, J. M. (2000). *Farm-level evaluation of monocropping and intercropping impacts of maize yields and returns in Iganga District*. IPM CRSP Working Paper 00-3 (October 2000), Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA.
- Knight, J.D. and S.J. Shirliffe. (2005). Saskatchewan organic on-farm research: Part II: Soil fertility and weed management. Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Saskatchewan, SK.
- Kris-Etherton, P. M., Hecker, K. D., Bonanome, A., Coval, S. M., Binkoski, A. E., Hilpert, K. F.,& Etherton, T. D. (2002). Bioactive compounds in foods: their role in the prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer. *The American journal of medicine*, 113(9): 71-88.
- Kurdali, F., & Al-Chammaa, M. (2013). Growth and nitrogen fixation in silicon and/or potassium fed chickpeas grown under drought and well-watered conditions. *Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry*, 9(3).
- Law, M. R., & Morris, J. K. (1998). By how much does fruit and vegetable consumption reduce the risk of ischaemic heart disease? *European journal of clinical nutrition*, 52(8):549-556.

- Lelei, J. J., & Onwonga, R. N. (2014). White lupin (*Lupinus albus* L. cv. Amiga) increases solubility of Minjingu phosphate rock, phosphorus balances and maize yields in Njoro Kenya. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*.
- Li, H. G., Shen, J. B., Zhang, F. S., Marschner, P., Cawthray, G. and Rengel, Z. (2010). Phosphorous uptake and rhizosphere properties of intercropped and monocropped maize, faba bean and white lupin in acidic soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 46: 79-91.
- Li, S. M., Li, L., Zhang, F. S. and Tang, C. (2004). Acid phosphatase role in chickpea/maize intercropping. *Ann Bot*. 94: 297-303.
- Liu, Y., Mi, G., Chen, F., Zhang, J. and Zhang, F. (2004). Rhizosphere effect and root growth of two maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes with contrasting P efficiency at low P availability. *Plant Science*, 167: 217-223.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Functions of mineral nutrients: macronutrients, p. 299-312. In H. Marschner (Ed.). *Mineral nutrition of higher plants* 2nd Edition. Academic Press, N.Y.
- Malik, W. U., Srivastava, S. K., & Bansal, A. (1983). Application of zirconium molybdophosphate gel for the selective separation of thallium (I) ions. *Analyst*, 108(1284), 340-345.
- Mariga, I. K., Chivinge, O. A., Kasembe, E., & Mabasa, S. (2001). The effect of different cowpea cultivars on witchweed and maize yield under dryland conditions. In *The BCPC Conference: Weeds, 2001, Volume 1 and Volume 2. Proceedings of an international conference held at the Brighton Hilton Metropole Hotel, Brighton, UK, 12-15 November 2001.* (pp. 163-168). *British Crop Protection Council*.
- Mengel, K. (1996). Turnover of organic nitrogen in soils and its availability to crops. *Plant and Soil*, 181(1), 83-93.
- Mtei, K. M., Ngome, A. F., & Becker, M. (2013). Socio-ecological niches for targeting technology options to improve agricultural production in smallholder systems of western Kenya. *International Journal of AgriScience*, 3(4), 280-297.
- Muli, M. N., Onwonga, R. N., Karuku, G. N., Kathumo, V. M., & Nandukule, M. O. (2015). Simulating Soil Moisture under Different Tillage Practices, Cropping Systems and Organic Fertilizers Using CropSyst Model, in Matuu Division, Kenya. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 7(2), 26.
- Nalatwadmath, S. K., Rao, M. S., Patti, S. L., Jayaram, N. S., Bhola, S. N., & Prasad, A. (2003). Long term effects of integrated nutrient management on crop yields and soil fertility status in vertisols of bellary. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 37(1), 64-67.
- Neumann, G., Massonneau, A., Langlade, N., Dinkelaker, B., Hengeler, C., Römheld, V., & Martinoia, E. (2000). Physiological aspects of cluster root function and development in phosphorus-deficient white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.). *Annals of botany*, 85(6), 909-919.
- Ngome, A. F. E., Becker, M., & Mtei, K. M. (2012). Leguminous cover crops differentially affect maize yields in three contrasting soil types of Kakamega, Western Kenya. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics (JARTS)*, 112(1), 1-10.
- Niang AI, Amadalo BA, Wolf de J, Gathumbi SM (2002). Species screening for short-term planted fallows in the highlands of Western Kenya. *Agroforestry for. Systems*. 56: 145-154.
- Nwachukwu, O. I., & Ikeadigh, M. C. (2012). Water use efficiency and nutrient uptake of maize as affected by organic and inorganic fertilizer. *PAT*, 8(1), 199-208.
- Okalebo Jr, Gathua kw, Woomer Pl (2002). Laboratory methods for soil and plant analysis: a working manual. *TSBF program UNESCO rosta. Soil science society of East Africa*.

- Onwonga, R. N., Lelei, J. J., Freyer, B., Friedel, J. K., Mwonga, S. M., & Wandhawa, P. (2008). Low cost technologies for enhancing N and P availability and maize (*Zea mays* L.) performance on acid soils. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4, 862-873.
- Onwonga, R. N., Namoi, N. L., & Lelei, J. J. (2015). Influence of Organic Based Technologies on Soil Nutrient Status in Semi-Arid Yatta Sub-County, Kenya. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 7(8), 56.
- Onwonga, R.N., Lelei, J.J., Macharia, J.K. (2013).Comparative effects of soil amendments on phosphorus use and agronomic efficiencies of two maize hybrids in acidic soils of Molo County, Kenya. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 3(4): 93.
- Opala, P. A., Okalebo, J. R., & Othieno, C. (2013). Comparison of effects of phosphorus sources on soil acidity, available phosphorus and maize yields at two sites in western Kenya. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*,59(3), 327-339.
- Pal, S., Panwar, P. and Bhardwaj, D. R. (2013). Soil Quality Under Forest Compared to Other Land Uses in Acid Soil of North Western Himalaya, India. *Ann. For. Res.*, 56(1): 187-198.
- Petit, S., & Firbank, L. (2006). Predicting the risk of losing parcels of semi-natural habitat to intensive agriculture. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 115(1), 277-280. Res 49:303–316.
- Place F, Christopher B, Barret H, Freeman A, Ramisch JJ, Vanlauwe B. (2003). Prospects for integrated soil fertility management using organic and inorganic inputs: evidence from smallholder African agricultural systems. *Food Policy* 28:365-378.
- Podsedek, A. (2007). Natural antioxidants and antioxidant capacity of Brassica vegetables: A review. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 40(1): 1-11.
- Prasad, B., & Sinha, S. K. (2000). Long-Term Effects of Fertil-izers and Organic Manures on Crop Yields, Nutrient Balance, and Soil Properties in Rice-Wheat Cropping System in Bihar. *Long-Term Soil Fertility Experiments in Rice-Wheat Cropping Systems. Rice-Wheat Consortium Paper Series*, 6, 105-119.
- Qureshi, F., Wani, J. A., Bashir, U., Malik, M. A., & Mir, S. A. (2014) Response of farmyard manure and inorganic nitrogen on vegetative growth, leaf yield and quality of kale (brassica oleraceavaracephala) in temperate region of kashmir valley. *Biolife | 2014 | Vol 2 | Issue 3*.
- Rao, M.S.S., Bhagsari, A.S., Mohamed. A.I. (2002). Fresh green seed yield and seed nutritional traits of vegetable soybean genotypes. *Crop Science*, 42:1950-1958.
- Rosa E, Heaney R (1996). Seasonal variation in protein, mineral and glucosinolate composition of Portuguese cabbages and kale. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 57: 111-127.
- Sharma, R. P., Suri, V. K., & Datt, N. (2001). Integrated nutrient management in summer barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) in a cold desert of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian journal of agricultural science*, 71(12), 752-755.
- Shen, J., Yuan, L., Zhang, J., Li, H., Bai, Z., Chen, X., Zhang, W., Zhang, F. (2011). Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. *Plant Physiology* 156: 997 1005.
- Shirani, H., Hajabbasi, M. A., Afyuni, M., & Hemmat, A. (2002). Effects of farmyard manure and tillage systems on soil physical properties and corn yield in central Iran. *Soil and tillage research*, 68(2): 101-108.
- Sileshi, G., Akinnifesi, K. F., Debusho, L. K., Beedy, T., Ajayi, O. C., & Mong’omba, S. (2010). Variation in maize yield gaps with plant nutrient inputs, soil type and climate across sub-Saharan Africa. *Field Crops Research*, 116: 1- 13.
- Temple NJ (2000). Antioxidants and disease: More questions than answers. *Nutr. Res.* 20(3): 449-459.

- Tiessen, H., Sampaio, E. V. S. B., & Salcedo, I. H. (2001). Organic matter turnover and management in low input agriculture of NE Brazil. In *Managing Organic Matter in Tropical Soils: Scope and Limitations* (pp. 99-103). Springer Netherlands
- Traka M, Mithen R (2009). Glucosinolates, isothiocyanates and human health. *Phytochem. Review*. 8(1): 269-282.
- Veneklaas, E.J., Stevens, J., Cawthray, G.R., Turner, S., Grigg, A. M., Lambers, H. (2003). Chickpea and white lupin rhizosphere carboxylates vary with soil properties and enhance phosphorus uptake. *Plant Soil* 248:187-197.
- Verhoeven DTH, Verhagen H, Goldbohm RA, VandenBrandt PA, VanPoppel G (1997). A review of mechanisms underlying anti-carcinogenicity by Brassica vegetables. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 103(2): 79-129.
- White, P. J., & Brown, P. H. (2010). Plant nutrition for sustainable development and global health. *Annals of Botany*, 105(7): 1073-1080.
- Zhang, F., & Li, L. (2003). Using competitive and facilitative interactions in intercropping systems enhance crop productivity and nutrient-use efficiency. *Plant and Soil*, 248: 305-312.
- Zhao, H., J. Lin, H.B. Grossman, L.M. Hernandez, C.P. Dinney and X. Wu, (2007). Dietary isothiocyanates, GSTM1, GSTT1, NAT2 polymorphisms and bladder cancer risk. *International Journal of Cancer*, 120: 2208-2213.
- Zotarelli, L., Zatorre, N., Boddey, R. M., Urquiaga, S., Jantalia, C. P., Franchini, J. C. and Alves, B. J. R. (2012). Influence of no-tillage and frequency of a green manure legume in crop rotations for balancing N outputs and preserving soil organic C stocks. *Field Crop Research*, (132) 185–195.