SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_27798**
Title of the Manuscript:	**VARIETAL PERFORMANCE OF JUTE MALLOW AS AFFECTED BY DIFFERENTAPPLICATION RATE OF COW DUNG MANURE IN AN ULTISOL, KOGI STATE, NIGERIA(Corchorusolitorius)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract: there is too much information on materials and methodology than the expected results. This must be summarised and focus much on the significant results and their practical implications.** **Introduction: This section lacks the problem statement, justification and relevant literature. There is nothing on cow dung and its beneficial effects on plant growth and yields.** **Results and discussion: results were presented in a professional manner, however, the discussion section is seriously lacking. There are lots of variables that were not discussed at all. This section must be revised and beefed-up in order to improve the standard of the manuscript.** **Conclusion: I believe that this section will change provided that the author re do the discussion section correctly.** **References: there are some few but reasonably mistakes that need tp be rectified.**	correction has been done to the best of knowledge, because I agreed with reviewer
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	My suggestion is that the paper be sent back to the author for corrections as suggested on the attached text.	