



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms JEAI 24311
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genotype x Environment Interaction and Stability of grain yield and related yield components in Pearl Millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> (L.) R.Br.)
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
There are still problems with this paper, most of which are shown on the returned track changes version. The data seem to be OK, but I anticipated seeing at least standard deviations on all of the parameters and comparative rankings would help also, rather than just table 5, which was not clearly explained. Also, the discussion claims some cultivars are better adapted than others, even though some of those are lower yielding than others. What is being measured as adaptation. If I was growing Pearl millet in that area, I'd be most interested in the cv that is best for my conditions, even if it wasn't good elsewhere. I still think it can be made acceptable, but will take more explanation, especially when apparent contradictions to the data are presented.	I have addressed and explained all issues and included tables of SE, S2di, and rankings and adaptation I have also addressed all in the corrected copy