



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23636
Title of the Manuscript:	Initial efficiency of commonly used practices to control soil, runoff and nutrient losses from maize and banana based systems in the Lake Kivu Basin.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Poor arranged and labelling of Figures and Tables. •	Figures and tables well-arranged and labelled
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Refer to the main manuscript for minor comments	I am still waiting for the manuscript with the highlights
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	• Author may comment on slope of the experimental site as this would enable further research bearing in mind slope variation • Since soil loss with the catchment is rainfall dependant, I would like to suggest that rainfall data during the study period can be incorporated into this research to convey additional information to the public. As well, it may also be used to explain some of variations in your results.	• The slope of the experimental site was added • Historical rainfall data was incorporated