



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12035
Title of the Manuscript:	Commercial Additive or Propionic Acid Used for Fermentation and Aerobic Stability of Silage with Pig Excreta

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
ABSTRACT Line 3: Delete 'was tested' after urea. Line 6: Start new sentence with 'Three...' after propionic acid. Line 17: Change 'un' to 'not' after concentration was Line 21: insert 'and' after stability. INTRODUCTION Line 22: Delete 'on' after college. Line 23: Start new sentence with 'There is...' after problem. Line 24: Delete 'a' on pollution. Line 26: Correct NH4 to NH3. Line 41: Change 'by' after bacteria to 'for'. Line 42: 'By using excreta pig...' should read 'By using pig excreta...' Line 49: Insert 'of' after silage. Line 113: Insert 'of' after silage. Line 115 and 116: Meaning is not clear. Line 129: Delete 'by' after micro organisms. Line 119: Could read as 'was done as described above' at the end of the sentence. Line 228: Insert 'of' after silage. Line 262: Replace 'by' with 'for' after excreta. Line 279: Insert 'of' after silage. Line 351: Delete 'and' after which.	The authors, we agree with the corrections suggested in the second review to the manuscript, we are grateful deeply for the suggestions that have been very important to improve the quality of the manuscript.