



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12597
Title of the Manuscript:	Pasture in the Context of Agricultural Multifunctionality in Brazil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Title...should be reviewed e.g the implications of....., to make it sound less theoretical 2. The abstract needs to have a management implication/relevance at the end 3. The objective not clearly stated 4. Needs to have a statement on management implications at the end 5. Reference.... see comment 6. Some works needs citation to avoid seen to have copied.	Corrections made. Highlighted in yellow on file.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		