



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12035
Title of the Manuscript:	Commercial Additive or Propionic Acid Used for Fermentation and Aerobic Stability of Silage with Pig Excreta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although this paper is good, it would be ever better if some extra sampling points data were added to evaluate the aerobic stability.	We agree with successful reviews; Silage samples for analysis at 0, 8 and 16 days were taken of the surface and to a depth of 5 cm;
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1、References should be cited to provide why the author use that formula ratio to do these various treatments in the Production of microsilos part (lane 57 2.1). 2、Some minor errors need to be corrected: Unit error, such as 4 C should be 4 °C. Punctuation error, such as [6,7) should be[6,7]. Some references are not completely correct, such as "17.Harrigan WF, McCance ME. 1990. Determination of... 1990." should be"17.Harrigan WF, McCance ME. Determination of... 1990."	We agree with successful reviews; we carry out the indicated corrections
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript could be published after revision.	Thanks for the comments