



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12035
Title of the Manuscript:	Commercial Additive or Propionic Acid Used for Fermentation and Aerobic Stability of Silage with Pig Excreta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What are the advantages of pig manure using in silages should be given (Crude protein content, ammonia, microbial population etc.) It can be compared with poultry, ruminant etc. manure How much is the potential of Mexico pig, poultry manure should be given in introduction section. If it is possible nutrient content of samples (crude protein, ash, fiber, fat, dry matter) should be given. There are lots of nitrogen in pig manure, so what is the reason of using urea as nitrogen source. This needs an explanation In line 257, non protein nitrogen (NPN) instead of NNP	We agree with successful reviews; one already added in the introduction section that the production of pig excreta that they occupy the second place in production after poultry excreta in Mexico, were also added information joined on the chemical composition of the pig excreta silage
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nowadays, when we consider removal of agricultural wastes, this kind of experiments have great importance. This MS has scientific value, so it can be published after minor revisions. Suggestions: what are the suggestions for researchers after this research. A study should be planned with animals using this kind of silages and their performance should be examined.	We agree with successful reviews; before using the pig excreta silage in animals, it is suggested to realize a test <i>in vitro</i> to evaluate the digestibility, fermentation ruminal; later to realize a test <i>in vivo</i> with sheep, to evaluate the consumption, the weight gains and the feed conversion.