



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10320
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal and Genotypic Influence on Insect Pests, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In' Introduction', delete line 64 to 70. 2. Line 281, The reference Ezedinme, FOC, The title of the paper is missing, please add the title. 3. The reference, GENSTATE (2005) is missing in the text. 4. Table 2. Only the average values of 2009 and 2010 should be given. Table 4, Exclude PODFILL; seed weight; number of pods per plant; Number of seeds per pod, Pod length and pod weight. Make the Table simple and easy to understand.	Not Agreed because they contain the main subject matter which is the effect of season and genotype. Variation in season causes change in environment. Agreed Agreed Not Agreed, because the two years gives an idea of what the weather of the place look like during the experimental periods. NOT AGREED. These are yield contributing traits that must be assessed in such a study. The table is quite clear.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a good study and I recommend it for publication	