



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10320
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal and Genotypic Influence on Insect Pests, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Give more connection on the information provided in the introduction;	Not agreed. More information would make the paper too lengthy.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Correct some scientific names, e.g. <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp is written Vigna unguiculata L. Walp; - If the plant species name was written with the respective author, why not doing the same with insect pests names, following ICZN? - Minor modifications at M&M, Results and Discussion (attached file); - Trying to look for more information of biology and ecology of the insects would allow a better discussion about their occurrence on cowpea.	Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		