



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10320
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal and Genotypic Influence on Insect Pests, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp)
Type of the Article	General Interest

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor Revision Line 83- Why there is difference in chemical and physical properties of soil during 2009 and 2010) - Clearly mention. Line 101 (mention the planting date) Line 107: Specify the dose in gram for each chemical Line 111: Observed to be replaced by followed. Line 123 Please close the bracket after C.Shadabi Line 129 Instead of in a room under should be replaced by "at" Line 151-159: Mention exact figure as given in the table Line 192: Check the reference thoroughly I think Sigh is to be written like Singh (particularly spelling) Line 220 Which to be replaced by who Line 246 two to be replaced by Both Line 247 and is required to deleted Line 250 Wetter should be replaced by wet	This is because the experiments were carried out in two different areas of land in the same location and at different year (2009 and 2010); thus, they are bound to vary. Stated in the text in grams. Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general I do not familiar with format of the Journal. Therefore once again you check the format of your manuscript and cross check the references given in the text with	Ok