



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10320
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal and Genotypic Influence on Insect Pests, Growth and Yield of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp)
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Insert integrated Pest Managment at line 62 just before IPM. 2. Write IPM in parenthesis as (IPM) at line 62. 3. Harmonize lines 71 – 79 since all are about objectives. 4. Lines 82 – 97 under materials and methods presents results. Transfer contents to Results section as appropriate. 5. In view of 4 above, describe how the data reported were obtained. 6. State the source(s) of formulae presented in lines 115 – 117. 7. At line 127, reference was made to “damage”. State how damage was assessed. 8. The following literature were not cited in the text but were listed under references (a) Amatobi, 1994 at line 265 (b) GENSTAT, 2005 at line 284 (c) Quin, 1997 at line 305. Either cite them in the text appropriately or delete them from reference list. 9. Please confirm the spelling of Sigh in lines 309, 313, and 316 relative to Singh in lines 38, 46, 201. Correctness and consistency cannot be compromised. 10. Provide complete citation of Ezedinma at line 281.	Agreed Agreed Not Agreed. This is the general objective which usually comes before the objective Agreed Agreed It was stated in lines 130-131. Not Agreed. It is in the text Not Agreed. It is in the text Not Agreed. It is in the text Agreed Agreed



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Use block paragraphing instead of indents throughout manuscript.	Agreed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	